

**CITY OF KENT
SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
SEPTEMBER 27, 2017**

MEMBERS PRESENT: **Howard Boyle
David Basista
Kevin Koogle**

ABSENT: **Steve Balazs
Allan Orashan**

STAFF PRESENT: **Heather Phile, Development Planner
Bridget Susel, Community Development Director
Eric Fink, Assistant Law Director
Jamella Hadden, Administrative Assistant**

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Mr. Boyle at 4:04 p.m.

II. ROLL CALL

Kevin Koogle, Steve Balazs, and Howard Boyle were present.

MOTION: Mr. Koogle moved to excuse Mr. Balazs from the meeting. Mr. Basista seconded the motion. The motion carried 3-0.

III. ADMINISTRATION OF OATH

Mr. Fink instructed members of the audience wishing to be heard on any of the cases presented at this meeting to rise and raise their right hand. Mr. Fink administered the oath, "Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you are about to give this evening is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? Please say "I do". The participants responded, "I do".

IV. PROJECT REVIEW

**A. ARB17-011 LS ARCHITECTS
 100 East Main Street**

The applicant is requesting review of proposed duct work for Pacific East Restaurant.

Bill Arthur made the presentation on behalf of Pacific East. He stated that he is the owner of the building. Mr. Arthur explained to the Board that several options were explored to try to put the ductwork inside the building. He stated that there are no suitable options that would be cost effective and not disruptive to the other tenants.

He explored various old elevator shafts and dumb waiter locations that are too small. Three exterior walls would have to be removed, as well as tenant equipment and plumbing would have to be relocated to construct any ductwork inside the building. Also one of the shafts has the electrical wiring for the building. He stated that the installation outside is really the only viable location.

Mr. Boyle asked about the material for the ductwork.

Mr. Arthur explained that it is 24" and it is steel. He said it will be painted to match the surrounding brick color to blend in as much as possible.

Mr. Koogle asked if the ductwork will go through the awning or possibly removed. He asked how far the awning sticks out.

Mr. Arthur stated that he believes holes may be cut through the awning side and then attached to the building. He said the awning sticks out approximately 16" at the angle. He said the brackets will be painted black to a brick type of texture. It will be heat resistant type of paint that can withstand the heat.

Mr. Basista asked if the ductwork will be painted after it is installed.

Mr. Arthur said yes, after it is constructed and installed on the exterior wall.

MOTION: *Mr. Koogle moved to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for ARB17-011/Pacific East to install the ductwork on the exterior of the building located at 100 East Main Street subject to painting to match the brick color as much as possible. Mr. Basista seconded the motion.*

There was discussion regarding the finish on the intake grill. Mr. Basista suggested amending the motion to include painting the exterior intake grill.

Mr. Arthur agreed to paint the intake grill to also match the stone color.

Mr. Koogle accepted the amendment.

AMENDED MOTION: *Mr. Koogle moved to amend the approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness for ARB17-011/Pacific East to (a) install the 26" x 26" ductwork to the roof on the exterior of the building located at 100 East Main Street subject to painting to match the exterior brick color and (b) to paint the 30" x 30" intake grill on the west side to match the stone color of the surrounding finish as close as possible. Mr. Basista seconded the amended motion. The motion carried 3-0.*

V. OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Koogle requested that any applicant submitting an application for site plan review to the Arch Board should match the drawings to make sure that they are accurate.

Ms. Phile stated that she advises all applicants on this issue and will closely review the application to ensure the accuracy.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

Motion: *Mr. Koogle moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Basista seconded the motion. The motion carried 3-0.*

The meeting adjourned at 4:14 p.m.