



City of Kent
Wednesday, May 3, 2023
City Council Committee Meetings
320 S. Depeyster Street, Kent, OH 44240

MINUTES

ANNUAL JOINT MEETING WITH FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP TRUSTEES (Separate Agenda and Minutes)

COUNCIL COMMITTEE MEETINGS (Immediately Following Joint Meeting)

Present: Mr. Jack Amrhein, Mr. Michael DeLeone, Mr. Garret Ferrara, Mr. John Kuhar, Ms. Gwen Rosenberg, Ms. Heidi Shaffer Bish, Mr. Roger Sidoti, Mr. Robin Turner, Ms. Wallach (Left at 10:10 after second agenda item).

Also Present: Mr. Jerry T. Fiala, Mayor and President of Council; Mr. Dave Ruller, City Manager; Ms. Hope Jones, Law Director; Ms. Bridget Susel, Community Development Director; Ms. Melanie Baker, Service Director; Mr. Jim Bowling, City Engineer; Ms. Joan Seidel, Health Commissioner; Ms. Rhonda Hall, Budget and Finance Director; Mr. Nick Shearer, Chief of Police; Mr. John Seidel, Civil Service Coordinator; Mr. Erik Fink, Assistant Law Director; Ms. Sheri Chestnutwood, Service Department Administrative Assistant; Ms. Amy Wilkens, Clerk of Council

Mayor Fiala asked for a few minutes for comments prior to the meeting starting and said the following:

In 1984 and in 1994 Presidents Ronald Reagan and Bill Clinton, respectively, signed a Proclamation officially declaring Professional Municipal Clerk Week the first full week of May and recognizing the essential role municipal clerks play in local government. On behalf of myself as Mayor, the council, the city Manager Dave Ruller and his staff, as well as the city itself. Thank you Kent City Clerk Amy Wilkens for all you do.

Ms. Wilkens said this was such a surprise and thanked him for his nice comments.

Land Use Committee (Chair Ferrara/Vice- Chair Shaffer Bish)

Detachment of Land Request- Johnson Road

Chair Ferrara introduced the topic of the detachment of land that was requested by a resident of Kent. He reminded everyone speaking tonight to address the Council only, and not each other.

Mr. Eric Kolb gave the history of the property and how it was annexed to the City of Kent.

The annexation of the 49 acres was allowed, with 10 or more acres. He has 39 acres with 38 in the detachment process. He loves the tranquility of the property and is not proposing to change it in a major way. He wants to reclaim it for its original use. He said he wants to detach his land of 4100 feet that already borders Franklin Twp. The vacant land is Franklin Twp. and the farmhouse is in the City of Kent. His frontage is on Franklin Twp and would like all of his property to be in one jurisdiction. He said he does not receive any city services for the frontage on Johnson Rd. He came and met with Ms. Susel about detaching the land and she advised him to speak with a lawyer about the process. Mr. Kolb addressed his neighbors saying he appreciated being able to speak with them before tonight. He addressed rumors such as ski parks and jet skis, stating he had no intention of doing that. He wants it back into agricultural use. He likes the tranquility of the lake and his intent is not to develop it. He wants to plow it and turn it back into a farm. He said he wanted to clear up the false information going around.

Mr. Ferrara introduced Ms. Susel, Community Development Director, who said the petition is for two parcels in the Forest Lake Subdivision. She reviewed the conversation she had with the applicant and has since learned what the detachment of land process is. There are four requirements in the Ohio Revised Code to allow a detachment :

1. The parcel(s) must be farmland that was not within the original corporation limits of the City;
2. The parcel(s) are in or will remain within the city and the landowner(s) are taxed or will continue to be taxed for municipal purposes in substantial excess of the benefits conferred on them because of being in the city;
3. The parcels may be detached without materially affecting the best interests or good government of the city; and
4. Five (5) years must have elapsed since the parcels were annexed to the city.

Mr. Fink then spoke with Council regarding what is good governance and what is good for the city. He said it is good for the community to have a public meeting so that if this case were to end up in front of the Court of Common Pleas, there will be a record of what the public thought, what the government thought. The item that will be important is to determine if this is platted land. He said the Ohio Revised Code addresses the platted vs. unplatted land issue, and that is not for Council to decide this evening.

Ms. Shaffer Bish asked what the hardship would be and what would happen if the land was sold in the future. Ms. Susel said hardship is not a determinant in the detachment of the land's petition. Ms. Susel briefly outlined the zoning in Franklin Twp., which is considered rural residential, which allows for passive recreation. The City of Kent zoning specifies a permit to do any land clearing on a flood plain, which it currently is on.

Mr. Turner asked about the impact on the residents near the property. Ms. Susel said that is not for her to say, but for the council to review and decide. Ms. Susel reviewed the map and how the detachment would move the property into the township.

Mr. Sidoti asked if it went back to Franklin Twp. if it would remain in the Kent City Schools Taxing Authority, and she said it would. Mr. Sidoti asked if the whole area was platted. Ms. Susel said it was. Mr. Sidoti asked if this would be turned down if this is denied and if they could go through with an annexation. She said they could. He asked why they didn't go through annexation and said the municipality has to approve it before they can consider it.

Mr. Kuhar asked if the parcel is muck. She said she didn't call it muck, but showed the color map. He asked if the city would provide improvements to that property. They discussed fire hydrants and the services provided. He said he was trying to determine what is the best value for a developer or others. He asked if it was better value for agricultural/private use. Ms. Susel said she could only speak about what is required for a subdivision regulation and at the time it was platted and that they wouldn't approve a subdivision without a plan of how

they would connect.

Ms. Shaffer Bish asked what the assumption of that was to drain the wetlands. Ms. Susel said she could not speak about that as she wasn't there and cannot speak about what her predecessors did or wanted the Planning Commission to do.

Next, Mr. Ferrara went to the audience and asked if there were any comments. He said all questions must be directed to the Council.

Ms. Renee Ruchotzke from Kent Environmental Council came up to the microphone. She said she had previously submitted a letter to Council prior to the meeting in regard to this land and the implications of draining a flood plain. She said the floodplain needs to be considered.

Jim Stadelman from Forest Lakes Association, who resides on Windward Lane came to the podium. He provided a packet of information that included the speaker's verbatim statements and attachments (**Attachment #1**). The following residents from the Forest Lakes Homeowner's Association came forward and spoke, and their comments are in the attachment: Jim Stadelman, Roger Miles, Lori Kline, Janice Sampson, Jennifer Fischer, Past Oster, and Marc Calcaterra.

Ms. Linda Miles of Windward Lane also addressed the Council. She said she was not trustful of the claims of Mr. Kolb and what he wants to do with the land. He has destroyed habitat, burned large piles of debris, and taken debris from a bridge and dumped it on his property with the intent of building a road. He has only looked into his interest. She asked that the city council preserve their rights.

Jason Kolb of Newcomer Road came up next to speak stating his brother is the owner of the property. They wish to use their property and the lake for their recreation. They are shocked by the organized drive and addressed that the sound of the boat on the water is not louder than a lawn mower. He said it is not going to be developed and that his brother is trying to be a good neighbor and will continue to be one after tonight.

Mr. Randy Schneider came to the microphone and asked if they are not allowed to farm the land as it is zoned now. Ms. Susel said it would need to go through the planning commission. He said as a neighbor he would urge the council to leave it in Kent if all they want to do with the land is to farm.

Mr. Garret Ferrara thanked Forest Lakes for putting their comments together and for being concise for the sake of time.

Ms. Shaffer asked Erik Fink if we were to make a motion to not allow them not to detach the land or if they should just take no action. Mr. Fink replied there were a couple of options. He said if they chose to take no action, the matter would "die". The property owner would then have the right to take the matter to Common Pleas Court. They would review the complaint and see that no action was taken either way by Kent City Council. If the City Council passes a resolution in favor or against the detachment, the court would have a document to refer to in factoring in its decision.

Mr. DeLeone asked that no matter what the Council did, it would go to court. Mr. Fink said "not necessarily".

Mr. Turner asked what the desire was for the request for detachment. What are the negative implications of the petitioner leaving the city of Kent? Mr. Turner said he is trying to understand why Mr. Kolb is petitioning. Mr. Ferrara asked Hope Jones, the Law Director, if Mr. Turner's question was germane to the discussion. Ms. Hope Jones said she did not think that it was. Ms. Jones said it is under the law and the petitioner has the right to make

this request. It is the Council's job to decide whether they agree. Mr. Turner said he is trying to understand why Mr. Kolb is making this request.

Mr. Kolb said the property he bought at the auction is two parcels. He wants both parcels under the same jurisdiction. The property is landlocked and wants to put it back into rural farmland.

Mr. Sidoti and Ms. Susel discussed the annexation process and the reason the petitioner went through the detachment process.

MOTION TO DENY REQUEST FOR DETACHMENT OF LAND REQUEST MADE BY Ms. Heidi Shaffer Bish, **SECONDED** by Ms. Tracy Wallach, and **CARRIED** by a voice vote of 9-0-0.

Ms. Shaffer Bish does not find the petitioner's reasoning compelling but rather finds compelling the concerns of the neighbors. She does not believe it is in the community's and the environment's best interest.

Mr. Kuhar said he agrees with this. He wanted to let the residents know that developers could come in as it stands now and develop this land. He said in consideration of those opposed to those, he doesn't believe there is any choice but to deny the detachment.

Mr. Sidoti said there is no judgment on what Mr. Kolb's reasons are, but he thinks he has a small frontage in Franklin Twp. and doesn't understand why he doesn't annex that into the city.

Mr. Turner said there are reasons to be concerned and they have been expressed by the citizens.

There were no further agenda items for the Land Committee, and the meeting adjourned at 8:48 p.m.

Mayor Fiala said they would take a small break to allow those in the audience to leave if they wished.

Action Recommended:

1. Deny detachment of land request from a resident to move land from the City of Kent to Franklin Township.

Health and Public Safety Committee (Chair Amrhein/ Vice-Chair Sidoti)

Mr. Amrhein asked Mayor Fiala temporarily chair the committee this evening because Mr. Amrhein would like to participate in the discussion.

Review and Acceptance of Trash and Recycling Bid

Ms. Melanie Baker introduced her topic of the trash and recycling bid process. She said representatives from Republic Waste were here this evening, as well. She thanked everyone who placed a bid. Ms. Baker went through her process, taking into consideration the city's current contract for both trash and recycling, what other nearby communities were doing, and then discussing the council's desire for a certain level of service. As per the Ohio

Revised Code, the City placed a bid notice in the Record-Courier on Monday, April 10, 2023, giving notice of the City's request for bids. It was then placed again on Monday, April 17, 2023, and anyone could have placed a bid for trash and recycling as advertised. A pre-bid meeting was held on April 20, 2023 and bids were publicly opened and read on Friday April 28, 2023 at 930 Overholt. One sealed bid contained a letter from Waste Management stating they did not wish to bid, and three other sealed bids were received from Rumpke, Republic and Kimble. After comparing the data, Republic Services was the low bidder. She stated that in previous meeting and stated by Council, over 50% of Kent's population falls at or below the poverty line level, and so the best deal for the residents was chosen. She said the current contract with Portage County Solid Waste District requires a 60 day notice to end the current contract if they did not wish to renew. She said that letter was prepared and sent to the district to allow Council the ability to review bids received for recycling. Ms. Baker reviewed items to note such as spring cleanup, tire drop off and downtown pickup.

Ms. Baker said there would be no cost for totes, new totes, and replacement for both in the future. There is no charge for a second trash can. She reviewed the bid process and how all processes were followed by the law. She addressed the thought that Republic Services was here and could address specific questions. She addressed the concerns about going green. The fuel surcharge is not a hidden charge and is required to be submitted. Each bidder had to provide detailed information on how the surcharge is used. It will be 18 cents per quarter. She distributed spreadsheets along with her memo outlining the process and her recommendation **(Attachment #2)**.

Mr. Kuhar asked if the 6 cents were per container or per customer. Melanie said, the customer. Ms. Baker said the numbers are based on the U.S. Government.

Ms. Shaffer Bish asked if the savings are what we are currently paying. She asked if the recycling bid was separated out from the trash bid. Ms. Shaffer Bish said she thought this was a combined bid. Ms. Baker said it could be bid separately. Mr. Ruller said this was unbundled and wanted to see a bid from the Portage County Solid Waste District, but did not get a bid from them. Mr. Ruller said they absolutely had a chance to bid.

Ms. Walach asked if the contract specifically spells out that recycling is not dumped into the landfill by Republic Services.

Mr. Brent Bowker, from Republic Services, said it is not taken to a landfill, as that would be illegal. Recycling is collected at a facility where it is sent and processed. He referenced the documents he presented to Council in regard to the level of service Republic Service provides, the conversion of its fleet to electric vehicles, and the fuel charge adjustment **(Attachment #3)**

Mr. Sidoti asked about the bid prices on the spreadsheet. He referenced his current bill and asked if he had it correctly. With the current bill, the cost would go down. He asked about the current bid price and if \$14.75 was for both trash and recycling. They said no.

Mr. Amrhein asked if Portage County had the opportunity to bid and chose not to bid. Ms. Baker replied "Yes".

Mr. Kuhar asks why they would have called him and asked him not to vote if Portage County Recycling didn't even submit a bid.

Mr. Ruller said Portage County Recycling has been encouraged from start to finish to submit a bid.

Mr. Turner asked if there was an existing contract and if perhaps they didn't feel it was appropriate to submit a bid. Ms. Baker said the contract expires within 60 days of notice by either party and that they were notified.

Mr. Amrhein said we are pioneers in recycling and he is heartsick Portage County did not place a bid.

Ms. Dawn Collins said it was made really clear that Portage County would not be placing a bid.

Ann Ward, a member of Kent Environmental Council, said she is reassured there are state laws behind recycling. Portage County does operate a drop-off facility and has a concern that those will go away.

Mike Tinlin, County Commissioner, came to the microphone and said he has visited the recycling center and says when he goes out to eat, he goes out in Portage County. He referenced the workers. His understanding was that we didn't need to bid. He said their team is awesome and asked if they were giving thought to what is going on here tonight and asked if they keep business in Portage County.

Lorain Mccarty of Orchard Street, Kent, said she has to commend the district for sticking with recycling and making that commitment. She commended them on their efforts to educate the community. She asked about the services that Portage County provides and how it will be impacted in the future. She sees the small amount that would be saved, but that money isn't everything.

Anthony Grimes, of Ravenna, Ohio, an employee of Portage County Recycling, said he has serviced the Kent community for 18 years. He lives and works in Portage County and would like to continue to do so.

Michael McCulty of Akron, Ohio, who started as a recycling truck driver in 2020 spoke next. He is excited about the job he does and the contamination rate, which is far below the national average.

Ms. Judy Nelson of Whetstone Drive in Kent thanked Ms. Baker for her work. It is now up to the Kent Council to determine what is right. She said cost is important and asked what the list looked like. She complimented Republic Services on its efforts at sustainability. Waste haulers are a business and profit is their motivation. She asked what we would lose if we pulled out of the County and if other communities would pull out. She discussed the Climate Action Plan. She said in 2010, bag service was \$1.85 and is now \$3. She said the more trash you make, the lower the price.

Hannah Motes from Tallmadge, Ohio, passed out a handout from Portage County with infographics of services provided. **(Attachment #4).**

Doug Demault works for the district. He said this is very important to all of them. They have new leadership and have worked hard. He said this is his community and he lives here. It means more to him because this is where we live. He said it is important and was about the level of service.

Ms. Wallach said Portage County Recycling is a local business and should be supported.

Ms. Rosenberg said, as the representative of taxpayers, she is finding it hard to vote against a decrease in cost and how to support someone who decided not to submit a bid. She does not feel she has an option without a bid. There is no rationale.

Mr. Ferrara said he does not see how we cannot accept the bid presented. He said the workers at Portage County Recycling should be really mad at their management because of how they conducted business. It is not good fiscal management and thinks the management set them up.

Mr. Turner's math on the 45% is still 45%. That is the number. He doesn't want to see Portage County going away. He said he doesn't want Portage County to feel bad about anything they have done.

Mr. Kuhar said he feels compassion for those who work for Portage County. He said what Republic Service is doing is far exceeding them. He thought they were here tonight to vote on the bids. This is another case where management has let them down. He said a couple of bucks is important.

Ms. Shaffer Bish said there was a lopsided difference, and held up the infographics. She is concerned if this nonprofit government option goes away. She said the stakes are high. She would like an opportunity to work this out.

Mr. Amrhein asked if we could even have this conversation and Ms. Baker said no.

There were questions pertaining to what motion could be made this evening. It was decided after a brief discussion they needed to vote on the bid that was submitted by Republic Services.

Mr. Ferrara said it is not the City's job to subsidize the rest of the County. He said the issue should be how we are spending taxpayer money.

MOTION TO ACCEPT ONLY THE TRASH PORTION OF REPUBLIC SERVICE BID

MADE BY Ms. Tracy Wallach, SECONDED by Ms. Heidi Shaffer Bish, and **FAILED** by a voice vote of 3-6-0. Ms. Wallach, Ms. Shaffer Bish, Mr. Turner, and Mr. Amrhein voted in favor, Mr. DeLeone, Mr. Ferrara, Mr. Kuhar, Ms. Rosenberg and Mr. Sidoti voted "NO".

MOTION TO APPROVE TRASH AND RECYCLING BID RECEIVED FROM REPUBLIC SERVICES

MADE BY Mr. Garret Ferrara, SECONDED by Mr. John Kuhar, and **CARRIED** by a voice vote of 5-4-0. Ms. Wallach, Ms. Shaffer Bish, Mr. Turner, and Mr. Amrhein voted 'No'.

Actions Recommended:

1. Authorize parking fee and collection process changes, with the emergency clause.
2. Authorize the award of the trash and recycling services contract to Republic Services from the competitive bids, with the emergency clause.

Parking Ordinance Penalties

MOTION TO SUSPEND THE STANDING RULES AND TO ALLOW DISCUSSION OF ITEMS PAST THE 10 PM TIME LIMIT

MADE BY Mr. Jack Amrhein, SECONDED by Mr. Michael DeLeone and CARRIED by a voice vote of 8-1-0. Ms. Wallach voted 'No' and then stood up and left the meeting.

Chief Shearer was up next to discuss proposed changes to parking ordinance penalties. He said he is asking for an additional fee for delinquent tickets and that after 45 days an additional fee of \$15 will be added. This is needed to cover the cost of going to a different model for the collection of delinquent fines.

Ms. Rosenberg asked about the increase in the fine after ten days. Chief Shearer stated that the fee has always been in place since he worked at the Kent Police Department.

MOTION TO APPROVE CHANGES TO PARKING PENALTIES MADE BY Mr. Michael DeLeone, SECONDED by Mr. Roger Sidoti and CARRIED by a voice vote of 8-0-0. (Ms.

Wallach left the meeting prior to this item).

Ms. Rosenberg wanted to amend the motion. Mayor Fiala said it was already seconded and would require the motion to be withdrawn. Mr. Ferrara said he did not wish to withdraw his motion.

Seeing no further business, the Health and Safety Committee Meeting adjourned at 10:10 p.m.

Committee of the Whole - Chair Fiala/ Vice-Chair Amrhein

Board and Commission Interviews

Of the three applicants wishing to interview with Council, one last remaining applicant remained. Mr. Jack Murphy, who is currently serving on the PARTA Board, talked about how he enjoys being on the board and how he utilizes the PARTA bus service. He talked about continuing to be on the board.

Ms. Wilkens informed the Council that the other two applicants had to leave the meeting, and Mr. Sellman said he wanted to withdraw his application to the Civil Service Commission. Ms. Wilkens said she would invite Mr. Rider back in June, as he had to leave due to time constraints.

City Hall Construction Update

Due to time constraints, this agenda item was deferred until a later date.

4 Day Work Week Pilot for Service Administration Complex

Due to time constraints, this item was deferred until a later date.

Streets, Sidewalks & Utilities Committee (Chair Sidoti/ Vice-Chair Wallach)

Cuyahoga Street Parking Change Request

Mr. Jim Bowling said The Traffic Engineering and Safety (TE&S) Committee is requesting City Council's approval to revise the permissible parking conditions on Cuyahoga Street adjacent to the old H-W Industries building. The existing ordinance prohibits parking on the south side of Cuyahoga Street only at H-W Industries. The new owner of the property has requested that this isolated condition be changed to be consistent with the parking conditions along the rest of Cuyahoga Street.

Mr. DeLeone asked if Mr. Bowling knew why parking was restricted on this street initially. Mr. Bowling said he would need to look it up. Mr. DeLeone said he said he remembered when Diggers Restaurant and Bar first opened there was a problem with people parking on the south side of the street and leaving their cars there overnight.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE CUYAHOGA STREET PARKING CHANGE REQUEST
MADE BY Mr. John Kuhar, SECONDED by Mr. Michael DeLeone, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 8-0-0.

Action Recommended:

1. Authorize parking restriction changes on Cuyahoga Street to allow parking adjacent to the building located at 716 N. Mantua Street, with an emergency clause.

Finance Committee (Chair DeLeone/Vice-Chair Wallach)

Mr. DeLeone called the Finance Committee to order and introduced Mr. Jim Bowling.

E Main Street Improvements - Safe Streets for All Grant Request

Mr. Jim Bowling said the request is being made to submit a funding application for \$4 million for a Safe Streets for All Federal Grant for the East Main Street Area Improvements project.

There were no questions from Council or the audience.

MOTION TO APPROVE E MAIN STREET IMPROVEMENTS - SAFE STREETS FOR ALL FUNDING GRANT APPLICATION MADE BY Mr. John Kuhar, **SECONDED** by Ms. Gwen Rosenberg and **CARRIED** by a voice vote of 8-0-0.

Continuation of Workforce Grant 23 and Enhanced Operations 23 Grant Request

Ms. Joan Seidel said this is being requested by the Council to be able to extend services and do training and education at the Kent City Health Department.

There were no questions from Council or the audience.

MOTION TO APPROVE CONTINUATION OF WORKFORCE GRANT 23 AND ENHANCED OPERATION GRANT REQUEST MADE BY Ms. Heidi Shaffer Bish, **SECONDED** by Mr. Roger Sidoti and **CARRIED** by a voice vote of 8-0-0.

Budget Appropriation Amendment

Mr. Ruller asked Council to refer to the budget amendment request that was presented to them in their packet by Ms. Rhonda Hall.

There were no questions from either City Council members nor the audience.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE BUDGET APPROPRIATION AMENDMENT MADE BY Ms. Gwen Rosenberg, **SECONDED** by Mr. John Kuhar and **CARRIED** by a voice vote of 8-0-0.

Actions Recommended:

1. Authorize the submission of the Safe Streets for All grant application in the amount of \$4 million and, if approved, authorize acceptance and allocation of funds, with an emergency clause.
2. Approve the application, acceptance, and allocation of the CDC's Workforce 23 Grant and the Enhanced Operations 23 Grant, with an emergency clause.

3. Approve budget appropriation #3, with an emergency clause.


MOTION TO ENTER EXECUTIVE SESSION TO CONSIDER THE APPOINTMENT, EMPLOYMENT, DISMISSAL, DISCIPLINE, PROMOTION, DEMOTION, OR COMPENSATION OF A PUBLIC EMPLOYEE OR OFFICIAL, OR THE INVESTIGATION OF CHARGES OR COMPLAINTS AGAINST A PUBLIC EMPLOYEE, OFFICIAL, LICENSEE, OR REGULATED INDIVIDUAL; BOARD AND COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS; PENDING LITIGATION MADE BY Mr. Jack Amrhein, SECONDED by Mr. Roger Sidoti and CARRIED by a voice vote of 8-0-0.

Present: Mr. Jack Amrhein, Mr. Michael DeLeone, Mr. Garret Ferrara, Mr. John Kuhar, Ms. Gwen Rosenberg, Ms. Heidi Shaffer Bish, Mr. Roger Sidoti, Mr. Robin Turner

Absent: Ms. Tracy Wallach

MOTION TO RETURN TO the REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MADE BY Mr. Roger Sidoti, SECONDED by Mr. Michael DeLeone, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 8-0-0. This motion was made at 11:05 p.m. and no further action was taken by Council.

The City Council Committee Meetings adjourned at 11:05 p.m.



Amy Wilkens, CMC
Clerk of Council

City of Kent Council Committee Meeting
"Petition for Land Detachment" Comments
By Members of Forest Lakes Development
5/3/12

Introduction – Jim Stadelman

Hello. My name is Jim Stadelman and I am a resident of Kent. I live on Windward Lane which is in the Forest Lakes Development. For those who are not familiar or who would like a refresher on where that is, it is in the Northwest Corner of Kent (See Drawing #1). My property is immediately adjacent to land that is being petitioned to be "Detached" from the City of Kent (See Drawing #2 which is an aerial view of the area with the properties of the homes of the area overlayed on it). Our home and many of those in Forest Lakes are unique in that the front of the homes look like a very nice residential neighborhood, but the back looks like we live in the country (See Pictures 1 and 2 in the packet).

Our development area is Zoned R-2 (Medium Density Residential) (See Drawing #3). The Franklin Township Zoning Map adjacent to the Properties being petitioned to be "detached" is shown on Drawing #4.

The property petitioning to be "detached" was originally in Franklin Township, but 17 years ago (2005), it was petitioned to be annexed to the City of Kent. At that time it was requested that it be Zoned R-2 and the City of Kent complied. As such, its present Zoning Classification is R-2.

Recently our new neighbor, purchased the land immediately North and Northwest of our property. The new owner of the property has petitioned that the property be "detached" from the City of Kent and that it be re-incorporated to Franklin Township.

It is our understanding that the Ohio Revised Code, Section 709 provides various means for the adjustment of boundaries in the annexation or "detachment" of adjoining municipal corporations. One method says that the boundaries may be changed by mutual consent of the adjoining entities. If there is a mutual consent, then the mutual assent is identified in the form of an ordinance from each municipality of agreeing to the change in the boundary lines separating the two entities.

City of Kent Council Committee Meeting
"Petition for Land Detachment" Comments
By Members of Forest Lakes Development
5/3/12

A public hearing to discuss that matter was scheduled and that discussion is being presented in the Committee Meeting tonight. As such, we the neighbors of the land adjacent to the land thank council for letting us know that the issue is being discussed, and we have requested that we be allowed to provide input to the Council to address some of our concerns.

Concern #1 - Lack of Major Information regarding the proposed use/development of the land

The first item of concern is that Major Informational Items which could impact the neighbors are not defined in the petition:

- What specific type of development is planned for the area if it is transferred?
- What will be the zoning for the property if transferred?
- How will the City of Kent be compensated for the loss of tax revenue? Will the taxes of the rest of us left in Kent be affected by the loss of this revenue?
- Should the land be transferred to Franklin Township, who will be providing oversight to protect the interests of the Kent neighbors for the following items?:
 - Traffic/Roads modifications in the areas adjacent to our properties due to the future development if the land is transferred?
 - The impact on Safety Services
 - How will governmental oversight be addressed for new construction/site development?
 - Will Utilities modifications be required?
 - How will the environment/wet lands/sound levels be affected?
- Without this information, how can the Council make an educated assessment of the impact on the community if the transfer should occur?

City of Kent Council Committee Meeting
"Petition for Land Detachment" Comments
By Members of Forest Lakes Development
5/3/12

Concern #2 - Protecting the best interests of the Community – Roger Miles

My name is Roger Miles and I am a resident in Forest Lakes on Windward Lane. My property is adjacent to the land being petitioned for detachment.

Bridget Susel was kind enough to share with us the documents she provided to you. Upon reading them, the one thing that stood out to me was that any decision must include the best interests of the municipality, and the best interests of the residents. So, the question is what are these best interests?

One is so apparent to me. Keeping the land within Kent affords to me, my neighbors, the Forest Lakes development and to the entire city, the protections and the oversights that come with having to abide by local ordinances and obtaining approvals from local committees. These ordinances keep me from infringing on my neighbors' rights and keep my neighbors from infringing on mine. They are, in a sense, our own little Constitution. Mr. Kolb owns the land. Let him do with it as he pleases. However, as the old saying goes "your rights end where mine begin". Keep the land in the city and let him petition for zoning changes, let him submit plans to Community Development. I have good faith that all these committees will do their due diligence and make decisions that are in Kent's best interests whether we agree with them or not. We are not asking for anything more than what we already have and what every resident of Kent has. What we have now is you, the Council, looking out for us, looking out for our best interests, making informed decisions on our behalf. But by allowing the land to go to Franklin Township, you are giving away your ability to make decisions, you are giving away our protections, you are giving away our oversights, you are giving away our piece of our little Constitution.

Here are two quick examples of why we need oversight. First, every time it rains, this is not a 100-year rain or a 50-year rain, but every time.

City of Kent Council Committee Meeting
"Petition for Land Detachment" Comments
By Members of Forest Lakes Development
5/3/12

Everyone on the street will tell you this is true. When it rains, a river forms along all our properties. I have pictures (and they are shown in Pictures attached to my presentation). These pictures were taken after it rained for about an hour. This river is formed by water draining off the west portion of Mr. Kolb's land. It follows a levy, flows through our properties, back onto Mr. Kolb's land, through a culvert and drains into Fishcreek. Any attempt to extend the existing lake, one wrong scoop of a backhoe could make the river permanent. The river is easily 8 to 10 feet wide in some places and about ankle deep. All of us have grass that we must maintain on the other side of the river and I'm not about to drive my John Deere through it. Second, any disturbance of the culvert that would stop the flow of the river into Fishcreek, where would the water go? It would back up and flood our land. This is why we need oversight.

Concern #3 -Potential Safety Issues – Lori Kline

My name is Lori Kline and I live on Windward Lane in Kent, adjacent to the property in question.

If the property is transferred to Franklin Township, some of the Safety Issues for the Community area are also transferred. Have the Safety Departments in the City of Kent and the Portage County Service agencies been involved in these discussions?

- Kent has its own police force with very good response times
- Franklin Twp uses County Sherriff for police protection – can the County Sherriff Dept provide the same response times?
- Will the increase in the territory that the Sherriff needs to protect (about 40 acres) impact the number of deputies required to properly protect that additional property (especially after it has future development) or will they need to add some deputies? Has the Sherriff's Dept been contacted to discuss this?
- Has the Kent Chief of Police been contacted to address potential reduction in Safety Forces due to the reduction of tax revenues?

City of Kent Council Committee Meeting
"Petition for Land Detachment" Comments
By Members of Forest Lakes Development
5/3/12

Concern #4 - The Environment of the area may be impacted – Janice Sampson

My name is Janice Sampson and I live in Forest Lakes on Windward Lane.

As a 40-year resident of Kent, I have seen City Council act on behalf of its constituents for the good of the community and ask that they do so now to retain this land as a parcel within the city of Kent.

Kent is known for their concern for nature and its careful and judicious stewardship. I recently reviewed the city of Kent mission statement and their Sustainability Plan for the future. As stated among its goals, we should aspire to "protect presently used and potential usable aquifers and other water sources from degradation" (goal 12), "enhance the city's riverbanks, streams and natural areas" (goal 15), and "discourage inappropriate development of farmlands, woodlands and critical natural areas" (goal 19).

Recently a letter was sent to Council from the Kent Environmental Council (and a copy of that letter is included in the attachments), indicating that the parcels of land under discussion are in a wetland corridor. These wetlands sustain egrets, herons, eagles and other bird and animal life both on the shores and in the water. They stand as one of the few remaining undeveloped large pieces of land within the city. Retaining this environmentally important wetland within the city contributes to the city's stated mission of "responsibly advancing our quality of life" and fits with the above stated sustainability goals. These wetlands are under the aegis of the US Army Corps of Engineers and the US Environmental Protection Agency. They are also 100% in a flood plain which encompasses most of the property, which involves FEMA oversight. Since Kent depends on ground water as its primary source of water for residential and commercial purposes, it is to the City's advantage to retain as much control as possible over wetlands within its boundaries. As such, the Kent Environmental Council recommends that these parcels be retained within the City of Kent and keep them subject to Kent's zoning ordinances, rather than detaching these parcels and relinquishing control to Franklin Township.

City of Kent Council Committee Meeting
"Petition for Land Detachment" Comments
By Members of Forest Lakes Development
5/3/12

If this detachment is permitted, any future development of the land may require additional wells for water (and possibly for septic systems for the handling of sewage). Contamination of the existing lake and wetlands, as well as maintenance of lake levels and potential flooding of neighboring properties, are of concern.

In summary, if this detachment is approved, Council cedes the ability to have input regarding any potential development of this land, and risks losing this environmentally important wetland. Therefore, I urge you to vote against this detachment request.

Concern #5 – Property Tax considerations – Jennifer Fischer

My Name is Jennifer Fisher. I live in Forest Lakes development on Windward Lane. My property is adjacent to land requested to be detached.

- One aspect that we in the neighborhood have examined is the implications of Property Taxes. Please see the 2022 Rates of Taxation document in the attachments.
 - The property tax rate (dollars and cents for each \$1000 of tax valuation) for Residential and Agricultural property is as follows: Kent – 62.563477 and Franklin Twp – 62.929976
 - The property tax rate (dollars and cents for each \$1000 of tax valuation) for All other classes is as follows: Kent – 69.152967 and Franklin Twp – 70.174337
 - The new Owner's Property taxes would actually increase slightly if the property is transferred to Franklin Township.
 - What is the advantage of transferring to Franklin Township from a Property tax standpoint?
 - Will the loss of Property Taxes for the City of Kent should this detachment take place require cutbacks in personnel?

City of Kent Council Committee Meeting
"Petition for Land Detachment" Comments
By Members of Forest Lakes Development
5/3/12

Concern #6 Zoning Issues – Pat Oster

Hello, I am Pat Oster, and I live in the Forest Lakes Development which abuts the land being petitioned. I will be referencing Kent City codes in my presentation which you will find included as attachments to a packet given to you.

Kent Zoning Code 1103.10 R-2 MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT which is the zoning code for petitioned land states: This district is established to encourage single family residential development at medium densities in areas of existing development of such density and thereby providing a more orderly and efficient extension of public facilities.

There are **Conditional permitted uses** within the R-2 code which includes Recreational/Facilities Outdoor (Section 1105.49)

Conditions do not indicate private recreation. What would be conditionally permitted under this zoning? Would it permit motorized watercraft?

Why do I ask about watercraft? The property owner has used his gas-powered water ski boat on the lake in the past.

Is excavating or enlarging the lake permitted? Is excavating considered mining? What permits if any are required? Is excavating a lake permitted?

I asked these questions due to the property owner having done work on the shoreline as well as removed trees.

NOISE LEVELS

Kent City Code 509.12 (b)(8) in part states:

No person shall maintain or operate an apparatus as to make any unnecessary noise, to the annoyance and discomfort of the people of the City ...

City of Kent Council Committee Meeting
"Petition for Land Detachment" Comments
By Members of Forest Lakes Development
5/3/12

It is not unusual for watercraft to reach 90-115 decibels which are in excess and cause irreparable harm with extended or repeated exposure.

Franklin County Zoning Code R-R for this land area is defined in the attachment and refers to protecting the Township's rural character, open space areas, water quality, environmentally sensitive lands, and wildlife habitats.

Please consider the questions I have asked.

I'll end by asking:

Why would the City of Kent be open to transferring land to another entity that is currently under your ability to govern and protect its residents and natural resources. What benefit would that be to the City of Kent?

What benefit or hindrance would that create those of us who live nearby?

Thank you,

Summary – Marc Calcaterra

My name is Marc Calcaterra and I live in Forest Lakes Development on Windward Lane. My property is adjacent to the land that the Owner is requesting detachment.

- We in the Forest Lakes Development have been pleased with the oversight of the City of Kent in providing the protection of Life and Property through their Protection Services, Building and Site Permitting, Mining Permitting, Oil/Gas Permitting, and other oversights of development protecting all the citizens within its governance.

City of Kent Council Committee Meeting
"Petition for Land Detachment" Comments
By Members of Forest Lakes Development
5/3/12

- Having the land contiguous to our property remain in the City of Kent provides a safety net to help ensure that our Property Rights, Safety, the oversight of potential development rights and our general environment will be better protected.
- Since the Zoning is not defined for the land in question should it be transferred to Franklin Township (and could be changed after transfer without our input) by the present or a future developer to Commercial/Industrial/High Density Housing etc., we are concerned about the impact of future development on our property values if the Kent R-2 zoning classification is modified. The Kent R-2 zoning has provisions for Recreational areas within the R-2 zone, so the Owner has the capability of working with the City to allow for this type of development as long as all the requirements of other County, State and Federal entities are met.
- There does not appear to be a property tax advantage to transfer the land to Franklin township.
- There are many questions that still need to be answered. Has a Study been commissioned to answer these questions?
- Finally, at this point, it appears to us that there does not seem to be an advantage to the City of Kent to give up its territorial involvement in the property already within its jurisdiction without more study. We ask Council that the transfer Ordinance not be prepared at this time and that no action be taken on this at this time until at least all of these questions (and more that may arise as we get new information are answered).

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Attachments from the presentation by Roger Miles



Picture #3 - Showing the "river" that forms after Rainfall



Picture #4 - Another Picture Showing more of the "river" that forms after Rainfall



Picture #5 – Another Picture Showing the “river” that forms after rainfall



Picture #6 – Another Picture Showing the “river” that forms after rainfall
Flowing into a culvert

Attachments from the presentation by Janice Sampson



Kent Environmental Council

P.O. Box 395
Kent, OH 44240

www.kentenvironment.org

Ms. Amy Wilkens (via email)
Clerk of Kent City Council
930 Overholt Road
Kent, OH 44240

April 27, 2023

Dear Members of Kent City Council,

We are writing in response to a petition for a "detachment of lands" from Kolb Acres, LLC. This property on Johnson Road (parcels 17-046-00-00-019-007 and 17-046-00-00-018-000) was annexed by the City of Kent in 2005 as part of the Forest Lakes subdivision.

These parcels are in a wetland corridor. They are in the Fish Creek watershed, which feed into Tinkers Creek, the largest tributary of the Cuyahoga River. These wetlands are hydrologically linked to Fishcreek Park and Forest Lake Wildlife Preserve, jurisdictional wetlands, under the aegis of the US Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. We also note the 100 year flood plain which encompasses most of the property. We wonder if the wetlands in these parcels were "set aside" as part of Franklin Township's 1999 initial subdivision, and/or Kent's annexation in 2005, or in subsequent replatting. We hope that the City investigates this history and any prior commitments.

Beyond their contribution to the esthetics of natural beauty, and as habitat to many plant and animal species, wetlands have a major (though seldom recognized) importance to human health and economic benefit. They are among the most biologically productive ecosystems on earth. They sequester a great deal of carbon per unit area, are important in degrading and removing organic contaminants, and in recycling nutrients, all done on solar power without cost to humans. Their replacement value has frequently been documented economically in the scientific literature. Their main significance to human health is that they naturally replenish our ground water (i.e. aquifers).

The City of Kent depends on ground water as its primary source of water for residential and commercial purposes. It is to the City's advantage to retain as much control over wetlands in its boundaries as possible. For these reasons, we, the Kent Environmental Council, recommend that these parcels be retained within the City of Kent and keep them subject to Kent's zoning ordinances. Please do not detach these parcels and relinquish control to Franklin Township.

Sincerely,

Renée Ruchotzke
President, Kent Environmental Council

cc: Bridget Susel, Hope Jones

05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.

Attachment #1

Attachments from the presentation by Jennifer Fischer

Office of the PORTAGE COUNTY TREASURER
Brad Cromes

2022 RATES OF TAXATION collected in 2023

Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 323.08, I do hereby publish

The rates of taxation for Portage County, for the tax year 2022

Rates are expressed in dollars and cents on each one thousand dollars of tax valuation

										Tax Reduction Factor		Effective Millage		
No.		School District		Cty	Twp.	Sch.	JVS	Muni.	Sp. Dist.	Total Rate	Res. & Agr.	All Other Classes	Res. & Agr.	All Other Classes
01	Atwater Twp.	Waterloo	LSD	14.12	9.35	56.80	4.00	-	1.90	86.17	0.451997	0.353219	47.221460	55.733141
03	Aurora City	Aurora	CSD	14.12	-	84.27	-	7.45	1.90	107.74	0.481777	0.369852	55.833322	67.892169
04	Brimfield Twp.	Field	LSD	14.12	20.72	65.35	4.00	-	1.90	106.09	0.408889	0.324867	62.710913	71.624823
46	Brimfield Twp.	Rootstown	LSD	14.12	20.72	64.48	4.00	-	1.90	105.22	0.442765	0.349246	58.632223	68.472357
45	Kent City/Br Twp	Field	LSD	14.12	-	65.35	4.00	6.84	1.50	91.81	0.448323	0.366906	50.649439	58.124382
49	Brimfield/Tallmad	Field	LSD	14.12	1.30	65.35	4.00	3.95	1.50	90.22	0.447123	0.362995	49.880567	57.470581
44	Brimfield Twp.	Tallmadge	CSD	14.12	1.30	79.90	-	5.05	2.40	102.77	0.393335	0.299848	62.346938	71.954622
05	Charlestown	Southeast	LSD	14.12	8.10	36.56	4.00	-	1.90	64.68	0.309264	0.269572	44.676832	47.244073
06	Charlestown	Garfield	LSD	14.12	8.10	59.21	4.00	-	1.90	87.33	0.559278	0.484457	38.488221	45.022335
07	Charlestown	Ravenna	CSD	14.12	8.10	68.08	4.00	-	4.01	98.31	0.473864	0.351573	51.724395	63.746868
08	Deerfield Twp.	Southeast	LSD	14.12	6.15	36.56	4.00	-	1.90	62.73	0.286887	0.235835	44.733604	47.936060
09	Deerfield	Waterloo	LSD	14.12	6.15	56.80	4.00	-	1.90	82.97	0.461857	0.372131	44.649766	52.094282
10	Deerfield	West Branch	LSD	14.12	6.15	32.60	2.10	-	0.90	55.87	0.324705	0.254194	37.728750	41.668160
11	Edinburg Twp.	Southeast	LSD	14.12	8.80	36.56	4.00	-	1.90	65.38	0.286041	0.227715	46.678625	50.491979
12	Franklin Twp.	Kent	CSD	14.12	10.27	109.51	-	-	2.70	136.60	0.539312	0.486279	62.929976	70.174337
13	Franklin	Stow	CSD	14.12	10.27	59.19	-	-	2.90	85.48	0.256605	0.201729	63.545419	68.236190
17	Kent City	Kent	CSD	14.12	-	109.51	-	9.54	2.30	135.47	0.538175	0.489533	62.563447	69.152967
18	Freedom Twp.	Garfield	LSD	14.12	2.60	59.21	4.00	-	8.60	88.53	0.522757	0.443030	42.250345	49.308528
19	Garrettsville Villag	Garfield	LSD	14.12	-	59.21	4.00	2.60	8.60	88.53	0.522757	0.443030	42.250345	49.308528
20	Hiram Twp.	Crestwood	LSD	14.12	12.60	49.44	4.00	-	1.90	82.06	0.411365	0.350551	48.303380	53.293783
21	Hiram Twp.	Garfield	LSD	14.12	12.60	59.21	4.00	-	1.90	91.83	0.516567	0.428009	44.393626	52.525888
22	Hiram Village	Crestwood	LSD	14.12	-	49.44	4.00	12.20	1.90	81.66	0.410686	0.382087	48.123406	50.458742
23	Mantua Twp.	Crestwood	LSD	14.12	4.30	49.44	4.00	-	9.94	81.80	0.415685	0.352731	47.796933	52.946641
24	Mantua Village	Crestwood	LSD	14.12	-	49.44	4.00	6.65	9.94	84.15	0.409169	0.343083	49.718466	55.279580
25	Nelson Twp.	Garfield	LSD	14.12	7.60	59.21	4.00	-	8.60	93.53	0.518135	0.434756	45.068797	52.867274
26	Palmyra Twp.	Southeast	LSD	14.12	6.90	36.56	4.00	-	1.90	63.48	0.283451	0.234917	45.486559	48.567488
27	Paris Twp.	Southeast	LSD	14.12	8.90	36.56	4.00	-	1.90	65.48	0.294285	0.240564	46.210204	49.727859
28	Randolph Twp.	Waterloo	LSD	14.12	13.28	56.80	4.00	-	1.90	90.10	0.430321	0.339092	51.328071	59.547807
29	Ravenna Twp.	Ravenna	CSD	14.12	13.90	68.08	4.00	-	4.01	104.11	0.456209	0.328467	56.614047	69.913315
31	Ravenna City	Ravenna	CSD	14.12	-	68.08	4.00	3.40	4.01	93.61	0.463076	0.334269	50.261441	62.319071
32	Rootstown Twp.	Rootstown	LSD	14.12	8.95	64.48	4.00	-	1.90	93.45	0.477232	0.374347	48.852710	58.467253
33	Shalersville Twp.	Crestwood	LSD	14.12	2.80	49.44	4.00	-	9.94	80.30	0.419214	0.359017	46.637123	51.470899
34	Shalersville Twp.	Ravenna	CSD	14.12	2.80	68.08	4.00	-	12.05	101.05	0.446180	0.312939	55.963543	69.427537
35	Streetsboro	Streetsboro	CSD	14.12	-	75.23	4.00	3.70	1.90	98.95	0.437074	0.325610	55.701539	66.730936
48	Streetsboro City	Kent	CSD	14.12	-	109.51	-	3.70	2.70	130.03	0.549165	0.497275	58.622100	65.369292
36	Suffield Twp.	Field	LSD	14.12	8.25	65.35	4.00	-	1.90	93.62	0.440359	0.347783	52.393577	61.060595
37	Suffield Twp.	Springfield	LSD	14.12	8.25	53.83	4.35	-	2.80	83.35	0.344354	0.285509	54.648128	59.552850
38	Suffield Twp.	Mogadore	LSD	14.12	8.25	87.20	4.00	-	2.80	116.37	0.478188	0.309441	60.723293	80.360338
42	Suffield Twp.	Lake	LSD	14.12	8.25	74.40	-	-	2.90	99.67	0.494465	0.385369	50.386711	61.260254
39	Mogadore Village	Mogadore	LSD	14.12	-	87.20	4.00	5.65	2.80	113.77	0.475402	0.311853	59.683517	78.290450
43	Sugar Bush Knoll	Kent	CSD	14.12	-	109.51	-	12.20	2.70	138.53	0.520770	0.466763	66.387788	73.869292
40	Windham Twp.	Windham Ex.	VSD	14.12	3.50	51.49	4.00	-	7.90	81.01	0.371777	0.256229	50.892358	60.252929
41	Windham Village	Windham Ex.	VSD	14.12	-	51.49	4.00	3.50	7.90	81.01	0.371777	0.256229	50.892358	60.252929
50	Garrettsvill Vill	Windham	LSD	14.12		51.49	4.00	2.60	8.60	80.81	0.367504	0.258287	51.112010	59.937791
51	Nelson Twp-Garret	Garfield	LSD	14.12	7.60	59.21	4.00	-	8.60	93.53	0.518135	0.434756	45.068797	52.867274

Attachments from the presentation by Pat Oster

Kent Code 1103.10 R-2 MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

states: This district is established to encourage single family residential development at medium densities in areas of existing development of such density and thereby providing a more orderly and efficient extension of public facilities.

There are **Conditional permitted uses** which includes Recreational/Facilities Outdoor (Section 1105.49) states:

Conditions. Only retail uses which are customarily accessory or incidental to the main recreation use are permitted, including, but are not limited to: (a) Refreshment stands, (b) Souvenir stands, (c) Concession stands, (d) Park office, and (e) The limited sale of groceries when the customers are primarily the campers using the park

Johnson Rd area is within Franklin Township Zoning R-R District

Section 302.00 R-R Rural Residential District Section 302.01

The purpose of this district is to accommodate low density residential development in areas where the underground water supply or the soil conditions for septic tanks are inadequate to accommodate a higher density and to accommodate a low-density residential development that typically occupy large open land areas in order to preserve the rural-like features and character of certain portions of the Township.

302.02 (2)(b) Open Space/Recreational Uses

Governmentally or privately owned and/or operated picnic areas, playgrounds, private parks, swimming facilities, golf courses, tennis clubs, country clubs, riding academies, and other similar recreational facilities and/or uses, but excluding such commercial recreational uses as drive-in theaters, miniature golf courses, golf-driving ranges, rifle ranges, skeet-shooting ranges, pistol ranges or other ranges for the use of firearms. Uses permitted under this category shall be subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 17, 26, 30, 31.

CHAPTER 6 CONDITIONAL ZONING CERTIFICATES

Section 600.00 Conditional Zoning Certificates

56. Planned Unit Development (PUD)

A. Purpose The Planned Unit Development (PUD) requirements are established to encourage and accommodate flexible land development practices in order to protect the Township's rural character, open space areas, water quality, environmentally sensitive lands, and wildlife habitats. These regulations are also designed to permit the location and operation of various combinations of residential, commercial, office, industrial and/or recreational land uses in specific areas of the Township. Additionally, the regulations further allow for the permanent preservation of a significant portion of the site from being developed, through the use of innovative land development techniques that are designed to advance the health and safety of Franklin Township.

(2)(d). Restricted open space shall include to the extent possible, a combination of the most sensitive and noteworthy natural scenic and cultural features such as:

(4) Aquifer recharge areas (i.e. wetlands, etc.)

Restricted open space shall be exclusive of all streets but may be improved in accordance with Section 56.J. Significant natural areas and amenities such as forests, tree stands, wetlands, ponds, ravines and riparian areas and channels should be left in their natural state and considered part of the restricted open space....

Pat Oster attachment

5/3/2023-3

NOISE LEVELS

Kent City Code 509.12 (b)(8)

Noisy Machinery. *No person shall maintain, run, or operate any steam, gas, gasoline, or other engine, boiler, press, machine, or other apparatus so constructed or operated as to make any unnecessary noise, to the annoyance and discomfort of the people of the City, except in the course of making an emergency repair and for weather necessitated activities.*

It is not usual for Jet Skis and Inboard or stern drive boats to reach 90-115 decibels which exceed levels which can cause irreparable harm to your hearing with extended or repeated exposure.

Pat Oster attachment

5/3/2023-1

Kent Code 1103.10 R-2 MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

states: This district is established to encourage single family residential development at medium densities in areas of existing development of such density and thereby providing a more orderly and efficient extension of public facilities.

SECTION 1103.10: R-2: MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

(A) Purpose
This district is established to encourage single family residential development at medium densities in areas of existing development of such density and thereby providing a more orderly and efficient extension of public facilities.

(B) Permitted Principal Uses	(C) Conditionally Permitted Uses
1. Accessory Buildings (Section 1106.10) 2. Home Businesses, Limited 3. Single-Family Dwellings	1. Assisted Living Facility (Section 1105.03) 2. Bed and Breakfast (Section 1105.11) 3. Cemeteries (Section 1105.15) 4. Child Day Care Homes, Type A & B (Section 1105.17) 5. Home Occupations (Section 1105.27) 6. Recreational Facilities, Outdoor (Section 1105.49) 7. Religious Institutions (Section 1105.50; Section 1105.23) 8. Residential Facilities (Section 1105.51) 9. Schools, Public and Private (Section 1105.55) 10. Temporary Structures (Section 1106.15) 11. Utilities & Associated Structures (Section 1105.64)
Where there is a discrepancy between Section 1103.07 and this table, this table will prevail.	

(D) Specially Permitted Uses
None

(E) Dimensional Regulations	
Lot and Building Height standards	
Min. Lot Area	11,000 sq. ft. with public sewer and water
Max. Density	3 dwelling units per acre
Min. Lot Frontage	60 ft.
Max. Building Height	35 ft.
Front Yard Setback	45 ft.
Side Yard Setback	10 ft.
Rear yard Setback	45 ft.

(F) Additional Regulations
1. Minimum Front Yard Setback Variances. The required front yard setback, on a lot where the new construction or alteration of a building is being considered, may be modified without a variance when the Zoning Inspector determines all of the following standards are met: (1) That the average front yard setback of at least two (2) existing front yards on lots within two hundred (200) feet of the lot in question and within the same block and on the same side of the street is less than the minimum front yard setback prescribed in the applicable zoning district; (2) The modified minimum front yard setback must not be less than the average front yard depth of the lots identified in Subsection (a), above, and (3) The modified minimum front yard setback must not be less than twenty (20) feet in any district. 2. Home Businesses, Limited. A Limited Home Business is a permitted use in any residential dwelling. The Zoning Inspector must review each application as it is submitted to the Community Development Department to determine whether the proposed use is consistent with the Zoning Code. If all of the standards are met, the Zoning Inspector will issue a letter of approval outlining the restrictions on the Limited Home Business.

SECTION 1105.47: RADIO OR TELEVISION BROADCASTING STATIONS

(A) Potential Concerns.

- (1) Noise.

SECTION 1105.48: RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, INDOOR

(A) Potential Concerns.

- (1) Traffic volume during group or league events.
- (2) Shared parking.

SECTION 1105.49: RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, OUTDOOR

(A) Potential Concerns.

- (1) Traffic volume during group or league events.
- (2) Proximity of fairways and greens for golf courses.
- (3) Location of accessory uses such as ball fields, swimming pools, and tennis courts.
- (4) Appropriate screening of high activity areas of abutting properties.
- (5) Shared parking.

(B) Conditions.

- (1) Only retail uses which are customarily accessory or incidental to the main recreation use are permitted, including, but are not limited to:
 - (a) Refreshment stands,
 - (b) Souvenir stands,
 - (c) Concession stands,
 - (d) Park office, and
 - (e) The limited sale of groceries when the customers are primarily the campers using the park.
- (2) No campsite, cabin, lodge, room or other rental accommodations will be occupied by the same occupant or group. The same occupant or group cannot occupy any tent, trailer or other camping equipment for a period longer than sixty (60) consecutive days.

SECTION 1103.08: O-R: OPEN SPACE-RECREATION DISTRICT

(A) Purpose	
This district is established for the following purposes:	
(A) To preserve and protect natural and man-made water areas, flood plains, marshes, and wetlands from development other than open spaces or recreational uses;	
(B) To preserve and protect agricultural districts, and/or wildlife habitats;	
(C) To retain natural drainage patterns; and	
(D) To preserve and protect the values of distinctive, geologic, topographic, botanic, historic, or scenic areas.	

(B) Permitted Uses	(C) Conditionally Permitted Uses
1. Accessory Buildings (Section 1106.10.)	1. Cemeteries (Section 1105.15.)
2. Agricultural Uses and Buildings	2. Child Day Care Homes, Type A & B (Section 1105.17.)
3. Domesticated Animal Kennels	3. Extractive Uses (Section 1105.22. Section 1105.19.)
4. Home Businesses, Limited	4. Home Occupations (Section 1105.27.)
5. Nursery & Greenhouses (No Retail)	5. Oil and Gas Wells, Drilling, and Operations (Section 1105.42.)
6. Roadside Stands	6. Open Air Markets/Farmers Markets (Section 1105.43.)
7. Single-Family Dwellings	7. Recreational Facilities, Outdoor (Section 1105.49.)
8. Wildlife Refuges and Game Preserves	8. Religious Institutions (Section 1105.50. Section 1105.23.)
	9. Schools, Public and Private (Section 1105.55.)
	10. Temporary Structures (Section 1106.15.)
	11. Utilities & Associated Structures (Section 1105.64.)
Where there is a discrepancy between Section 1103.07. and this table, this table will prevail.	

(D) Specially Permitted Uses
None

(E) Dimensional Regulations	
Lot and Building Height standards	
Min. Lot Area	5 acres
Max. Density	1 unit per 5 acres
Min. Lot Frontage	60 ft.
Max. Building Height	35 ft.
Front Yard Setback	100 ft.
Side Yard Setback	25 ft.
Rear Yard Setback	50 ft.

(F) Additional Regulations
1. Home Businesses, Limited. A Limited Home Business is a permitted use in any residential dwelling. The Zoning Inspector must review each application as it is submitted to the Community Development Department to determine whether the proposed use is consistent with the Zoning Code. If all of the standards are met, the Zoning Inspector will issue a letter of approval outlining the restrictions on the Limited Home Business.

Franklin Township Zoning Resolution
Chapter 3 – District Regulations

Section 302.00 R-R Rural Residential District

Section 302.01 Purpose

The purpose of this district is to accommodate low density residential development in areas where the underground water supply or the soil conditions for septic tanks are inadequate to accommodate a higher density and to accommodate a low-density residential development that typically occupy large open land areas in order to preserve the rural-like features and character of certain portions of the Township.

Section 302.02 Uses

Within an R-R Rural Residential District, no building, structure, or premises shall be used, arranged to be used, or designed to be used except for one or more of the following uses:

A. Permitted Uses

1. Residential Uses

Single-family dwelling.

2. Open Space/Recreation Uses

a. Agricultural uses as regulated in Section 402.00.

b. Parks for passive recreational facilities in compliance with Section 415.00.

3. Community Facilities

Adult family home in compliance with Section 419.00.

4. Accessory Uses

a. Home employment, subject to the provisions of Section 407.00.

b. Accessory buildings – as regulated in Section 405.06.

c. Signs – As regulated in Chapter 7.

B. Conditionally Permitted Uses

The Board, upon application which shall be treated as an appeal from denial of Zoning Certificate by the Zoning Inspector, may grant Conditional Zoning Certificates for uses listed herein subject to the general requirements of Chapter 6 and to the specific requirements of Chapter 6 referred to below:

Franklin Township Zoning Resolution
Chapter 3 – District Regulations

1. Residential Uses

Planned Residential Developments (PRD), subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsection 56.

2. Open Space/Recreational Uses

- a. Cemeteries, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsection 43.
- b. Governmentally or privately owned and/or operated picnic areas, playgrounds, private parks, swimming facilities, golf courses, tennis clubs, country clubs, riding academies, and other similar recreational facilities and/or uses, but excluding such commercial recreational uses as drive-in theaters, miniature golf courses, golf-driving ranges, rifle ranges, skeet-shooting ranges, pistol ranges or other ranges for the use of firearms. Uses permitted under this category shall be subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 17, 26, 30, 31.

3. Community Facilities

- a. Churches and other buildings for the purpose of religious worship, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 42.
- b. Governmentally owned and/or operated building or facility, other than those listed in Item 4, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11.
- c. Public, Private, and parochial schools, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 57.

4. Other Uses

- a. Non-commercial land filling to change grade and/or elevation of property; subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 54.
- b. Wireless telecommunication service facilities proposed by a public utility company and subject to local zoning procedures, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsection 60.

5. Accessory Uses

Home Based Businesses, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 48.

Section 302.03 Lot Requirements

A. Minimum Lot Area – Two (2) net acres.

B. Minimum Lot Width at Building Line – One hundred fifty (150) feet.

Franklin Township Zoning Resolution
Chapter 4 – General Provisions

- B. The design must allow convenient access for trash collection vehicles.
- C. The storage of hazardous or toxic materials or wastes shall not be permitted without documented approval of the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency or federal agencies responsible for the safe storage of the hazardous waste.
- D. Materials or wastes which might cause fumes or dust or otherwise constitute a fire hazard, or which may attract rodents or insects, shall be stored only in closed containers constructed of impervious materials.
- E. Trash shall be collected and removed from the site at least once a week.

Section 414.00 Temporary Buildings for Construction Projects

Temporary buildings for use incidental to construction work may be erected in any of the districts herein established; however, such temporary buildings shall be removed upon the completion or abandonment of the construction work.

Section 415.00 Parks for Passive Recreational Facilities

Parks for passive recreational uses may be established within any zoning district providing the following requirements and all other applicable requirements of this Resolution:

- A. Parks intended for passive recreational uses, such as, but not limited to wildlife and nature preserves, picnic areas, walking, hiking, biking, horseback riding, sledding and cross-country skiing, as well as other uses similar in character and potential impact may be located within any zoning district.
- B. Recreational facilities shall be designed in a manner that minimizes their impacts on adjacent properties and environmentally sensitive areas.
- C. Recreational uses and facilities shall be designed in a manner that will promote and protect public safety. This shall include effective security and safety lighting along pedestrian and bicycle routes and within parking lots and providing emergency telephone equipment when feasible.
- D. Outdoor lighting shall not cast any glare on adjacent residential properties, and shall comply with lighting standards of Section 1000.09.
- E. Activities shall be scheduled in a manner that will minimize any potential negative impacts to neighboring residential uses, especially any evening activities.
- F. It is desirable that parks and recreation areas be connected to residential neighborhoods and other areas of high usage with a multi-use circulation system designed to enhance transportation opportunities for pedestrians, cyclists, and other non-motorized users.

Franklin Township Zoning Resolution
Chapter 3 – District Regulations

map.

C. Vacation of Public Ways

Whenever any street or public way is vacated in the manner authorized by law the Zoning Districts adjoining each side of the street or public way shall be automatically extended to the center of such vacations and all areas included in the vacation shall thereafter be subject to all regulations of the extended Districts.

Section 301.00 O-C Open Space-Conservation District

Section 301.01 Purpose

This district is established for the purpose of avoiding the problems created by intensive development of areas having excessively high water tables, which are subject to flooding, or which are topographically unsuited for urban type uses. This district may also be used to preserve and protect areas having distinctive geologic, topographic, botanic, historic, or scenic areas; and to conserve natural resources and tracts of land.

Section 301.02 Uses

Within an O-C Open Space-Conservation District, no building, structure, or premises shall be used, arranged to be used, or designed to be used except for one or more of the following uses:

A. Permitted Uses

1. Residential Uses

Single-family dwelling.

2. Open Space/Recreation Uses

a. Agricultural uses as regulated in Section 402.00.

b. Parks for passive recreational facilities in compliance with Section 415.00.

3. Community Facilities

Adult family home in compliance with Section 419.00.

4. Accessory Uses

a. Home employment, subject to the provisions of Section 407.00.

b. Accessory buildings – as regulated in Section 405.06.

Franklin Township Zoning Resolution
Chapter 3 – District Regulations

- c. Signs – As regulated in Chapter 7.

B. Conditionally Permitted Uses

The Board, upon application which shall be treated as an appeal from denial of Zoning Certificate by the Zoning Inspector, may grant Conditional Zoning Certificates for uses listed herein subject to the general requirements of Chapter 6 and to the specific requirements of Chapter 6 referred to below:

1. Residential Uses

Planned Residential Developments (PRD), subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsection 56.

2. Open Space/Recreational Uses

- a. Governmentally or privately owned and/or operated picnic areas, playgrounds, private parks, swimming facilities, golf courses, tennis clubs, country clubs, riding academies, and other similar recreational facilities and/or uses, but excluding such commercial recreational uses as drive-in theaters, miniature golf courses, golf-driving ranges, rifle ranges, skeet-shooting ranges, pistol ranges or other ranges for the use of firearms. Uses permitted under this category shall be subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 17, 26, 30, 31.

- b. Commercial stables, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 2, 4, 5, 11.

3. Community Facilities

- a. Churches and other buildings for the purpose of religious worship, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 42.

- b. Governmentally owned and/or operated building or facility, other than those listed in Item 4, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11.

- c. Governmentally or privately owned and/or operated picnic areas, playgrounds, private parks, swimming facilities, golf courses, tennis clubs, country clubs, riding academies, and other similar recreational facilities and/or uses, but excluding such commercial recreational uses as drive-in theaters, miniature golf courses, golf-driving ranges, rifle ranges, skeet-shooting ranges, pistol ranges or other ranges for the use of firearms. Uses permitted under this category shall be subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 17, 31.

4. Other Uses

- a. Non-commercial land filling to change grade and/or elevation of property; subject to

**Franklin Township Zoning Resolution
Chapter 3 – District Regulations**

the provisions of Section 601.01.B subsections 54.

- b. Extraction and Mining operations, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 47.
- c. Wireless telecommunication service facilities proposed by a public utility company and subject to local zoning procedures, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsection 60.

5. Accessory Uses

- a. Home Based Businesses, subject to the provisions of Section 601.01.B Subsections 48.

Section 301.03 Lot Requirements

- A. Minimum Lot Area per Dwelling Unit – Five (5) gross acres.
- B. Minimum Lot Width at Building Line – Three hundred (300) feet.
- C. Minimum Lot Frontage – Sixty (60) feet.

Section 301.04 Yard Requirements

- A. Minimum Front Yard Depth – One hundred (100) feet.
- B. Minimum Rear Yard Depth – Fifty (50) feet.
- C. Minimum Side Yard Width on Each Side
 - 1. Principal Building – Twenty-five (25) feet.
 - 2. Accessory Building – Ten (10) feet.

Section 301.05 Maximum Building Height

- A. Main building: Thirty-five (35) feet.
- B. Accessory buildings: As specified in Section 405.06.

Section 301.06 Minimum Living Floor Area

Minimum living floor area of 1,000 square feet on the first floor, per individual dwelling unit.


05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.
Attachment #1

Attachments from the presentation by Jim Stadelman

05.03.23
Committee Mtg. Min.
Attachment #1

LAND BEING PETITIONED TO BE DECLASSIFIED
— FOREST LAKES DEVELOPMENT



Legend	
Zoning District	
OR	Office
R-1	Single-Family Detached
R-2	Single-Family Detached
R-3	Single-Family Detached
R-3A	Single-Family Detached
R-4	Single-Family Detached
R-C	Single-Family Detached
N-C	Neighborhood Center
C	Community Center
C-R	Community Center
IC-R	Industrial Center
C-D	Community Development
I	Industrial
I-R	Industrial
U	Urban

City of Kent
Portage County, Ohio
Zoning Districts Map
Updated August 2021

1,300 650 0 1,300 Feet



DRAWING #1






PICTURE #1 - TYPICAL FRONT OF HOUSES ON WINDWARD LANE
(SUBURBAN DEVELOPMENT)



PICTURE #2 - TYPICAL BACK OF HOUSE VIEW ON WINDWARD LANE
(COUNTRY VIEW)

Drawings #3

LAND BEING PETITIONED
TO BE "DETACHED" FROM KENT



DRAWING #4

Franklin Township



Zoning 2020

O-C	Open Space Conservation
R-R	Rural Residential
R-1	Low Density Residential
R-1B	Low Density Residential Brady Lake District
R-1/C-1	Mixed Low Density Residential/Commercial
R-2	Medium Density Residential
R-2B	Medium Density Residential Brady Lake District
R-3	High Density Residential
R-3B	High Density Residential Brady Lake District
R-4	Multi-Family Residential
C-1	Local Commercial
C-1B	Local Commercial Brady Lake District
C-1/R-3	Mixed Commercial/Residential
C-1/I-1	Mixed Commercial/Industrial
I-2	Industrial
I-2/C-2	Mixed Industrial/Service Commercial
R-O	Research-Office


Amended map approved August 11, 2020 Resolution #2020-28
 Parcels: Portage County GIS, 2020



Prepared by Portage County Regional Planning
 Commission, November 2020

05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.
 Attachment #1





CITY OF KENT, OHIO

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE

MEMO

TO: Dave Ruller, City Manager

FROM: Melanie A. Baker, Service Director

DATE: 5/2/2023

SUBJECT: 2023 Trash and Recycling bids and award.

The City of Kent prepared specifications went out for bid and opened bids on April 28, 2023.

The city received sealed bids from Republic, Kimble, Rumpke, and Waste Management. Waste Management submitted a letter of thanks for the opportunity to bid but indicated that they did not wish to submit a bid at this time.

The base bid specifications requested curbside trash pickup weekly for residents, which included all 5 levels of service currently offered by the city. These levels of services are a bagged service, a 35 gallon service, a 65 gallon service, a 95 gallon service (all of which have to pay extra for bulk items or excess trash) and a 95 gallon unlimited service which allows residents to set bulk items or excess trash out weekly at no extra cost. The residential base bid also requested pricing for recycling curbside for the residents. The bids requested rates for a weekly option and a bi-weekly option.

The base bid also included servicing the city buildings with weekly trash and recycling, spring clean up costs, tire collection costs and trash can pick up downtown.

The city did offer two bid alternates, lessening the number of service levels but still including options for weekly and biweekly recycling. While I believe these numbers looked good and would be a good choice for the city to consider, I clearly understood the desire of Council to continue to allow for residents to have control of their choice and level of service provided.

As always is the goal, the bids were written to try and obtain savings for our residents. It was specifically noted that the intent of this bid was to try and place all services under one hauler. Keeping this in mind, we will be able to secure a substantial cost savings to our residents for the next 5 years should we award the bid to Republic for both the trash as well as the recycling.

AWARD RECOMMENDATION – One contractor for both trash and recycling

After review and consideration of the bids, I recommend that we go with Republic Services, accepting the base bid option for trash with bi-weekly recycling. Republic was able to keep our trash prices the exact same for one more year prior to any increase on trash and lower our recycling cost for bi-weekly service to our residents from \$5.50 a month to \$3.00 per month, this is a 0% increase in our trash collection and a **45% savings** for recycling for everyone. The coupling of services under Republic provides Republic the ability to keep the recycling cost below the \$4.00 mark for the entire 5-year contract.

With the bids 5-year request for pricing of **both trash and recycling** the City of Kent residents will see cost savings for most levels of service for the next 4 years. The fifth year of the contract will still see cost savings for our bagged service, but the 35-gallon, 65 gallon and 95-gallon levels of service options will see an average of a 6.5% increase compared to today's current rates for both trash and recycling. (Please note that I did not factor in any possible increase for PCSWD recycling when comparing rates, so the savings indicated are assuming that there will be no increase needed by PCSWD in the next 5 years. However, as you can see on the bid tabulation sheet, all bids received showed an increase annually in both the trash and the recycling proposals. As we all know prices for equipment and maintenance don't go down and increase wear and tear on vehicles and equipment would seem to require at some time an increase in cost for PCSWD as well.)

I believe that this option keeps the city in tune with our residents and their need for affordable city services. Whether single family senior or families at low-income levels as mentioned in many meetings, with this choice we have a substantial savings across the board initially and a continual savings for the next 4 years for all residents as compared to today's current costs.

Further, As previously discussed, all bills attributable to city services (sewer, water, storm, other solid waste, etc.) should be considered when discussing options for increases or savings. We know that minimum bills for city services equal \$38.20 per month, so any savings on trash and recycling for any of our residents will be a win for everyone.

With all that said I recommend that the city accept the bid of Republic Services for residential trash weekly pick-up and bi-weekly recycling pick-up. The following is the bid tabulation for Republic Services.

Bid Tabulation sheet - 2023 Trash and Recycling

PRELIMINARY

Company: Republic

Addendums	Yes
Bid bond	Yes

2023 - 2024

Current Rates 2022-2023	Current Total	TRASH					Base Bio	Proposed Total	Alt. 1 Recycling	Alt. 2 All Unlimited
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST		Alt. 1 Recycling	Alt. 2 All Unlimited
3.00	8.50	1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.00	6.00	3.00	N/A
12.50	18.00	2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		12.50	13.50	N/A	12.00
12.75	18.25	3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		12.75	15.75	14.25	14.00
14.75	20.25	4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		14.75	17.75	N/A	N/A
16.75	22.25	5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		16.75	19.75	15.95	15.91
RECYCLING										
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE				
		1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		6.00		6.00	6.00
5.50		2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		3.00		3.00	3.00

2024 - 2025

Current Rates 2022-2023	Current Total	TRASH					Base Bid Cost		Alt. 1	Alt. 2
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST		Recycling	per 32 62 95
3.00	8.50	1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.15	6.30	3.15	N/A
12.50	18.00	2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		13.13	16.28	N/A	12.60
12.75	18.25	3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		13.39	16.54	15.28	14.70
14.75	20.25	4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		15.49	18.64	N/A	N/A
16.75	22.25	5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		17.59	20.74	16.75	16.71
RECYCLING										
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE				
		1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		6.30		6.30	6.30
5.50		2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		3.15		3.15	3.15

05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.
Attachment #2

Company: Republic

PRELIMINARY

2025 - 2026

Current Rates 2022-2023	Current Total	TRASH					Base Bid COST		Alt. 1 Recycling All Unlimited	Alt. 2 Recycling All Unlimited
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE				
3.00	8.50	1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.31	6.62	3.31	N/A
12.50	18.00	2	33 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		13.78	17.09	N/A	13.23
12.75	18.25	3	63 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		14.06	17.37	16.04	13.44
14.75	20.25	4	93 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		16.26	19.57	N/A	N/A
16.75	22.25	5	93 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		18.47	21.78	17.58	17.54
RECYCLING										
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE				
		1	Weekly recycling 63 or 93 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		6.62		6.62	6.62
5.50		2	Bi - Weekly recycling 63 or 93 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		3.31		3.31	3.31

2026 - 2027

Current Rates 2022-2023	Current Total	TRASH					Base Bid COST		Alt. 1 Recycling All Unlimited	Alt. 2 Recycling All Unlimited
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE				
3.00	8.50	1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.47	6.94	3.47	N/A
12.50	18.00	2	33 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		14.47	17.94	N/A	13.89
12.75	18.25	3	63 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		14.76	18.23	16.84	16.21
14.75	20.25	4	93 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		17.07	20.54	N/A	N/A
16.75	22.25	5	93 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		19.39	22.86	18.46	18.42
RECYCLING										
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE				
		1	Weekly recycling 63 or 93 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		6.94		6.94	6.94
5.50		2	Bi - Weekly recycling 63 or 93 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		3.47		3.47	3.47

2027 - 2028

Current Rates 2022-2023	Current Total	TRASH					Base Bid COST		Alt. 1 Recycling All Unlimited	Alt. 2 Recycling All Unlimited
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE				
3.00	8.50	1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.65	7.30	3.65	N/A
12.50	18.00	2	33 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		15.19	18.84	N/A	14.39
12.75	18.25	3	63 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		15.60	19.15	17.69	17.02
14.75	20.25	4	93 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		17.93	21.58	N/A	N/A
16.75	22.25	5	93 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		20.36	24.01	19.39	19.34
RECYCLING										
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE				
		1	Weekly recycling 63 or 93 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		7.29		7.29	7.29
5.50		2	Bi - Weekly recycling 63 or 93 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		3.65		3.15	3.15

Company: Republic

Current Prices		PRELIMINARY					
No increase 5 yr		TRASH - City Facilities			2023 - 2024		
no recycling	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	TRASH	RECYCLING	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST
114.00	1	930 Overholt Road (1 pickup per week)	6	4	Yd.		233.00
104.00	2	320 S. Depoyner St. (1 pickup per week)	6	2	Yd.		185.00
114.00	3a	497 Middlebury Rd. (1/wk 11/1 to 2/28)	6	4	Yd.		233.00
210.00	3b	497 Middlebury Rd. (2/wk 3/1 to 10/31)	6		Yd.		245.00
52.00	4	641 Middlebury Rd. (1 pickup per week)	2	2	Yd.		68.00
104.00	5	301 S. Depoyner St. (1 pickup per week)	6	6	Yd.		110.00
68.00	6	5660 Hodgeman Lane (1 pickup per week)	4	4	Yd.		90.00
68.00	7	590 Phinn St. (1 pickup per week)	4	4	Yd.		90.00
52.00	8	418 N. Main St. (1 pickup per week)	2	2	Yd.		68.00
114.00	9	634 Majors Lane (1 pickup per week)	6		Yd.		135.00

05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.

Attachment #2

Company: Republic

PRELIMINARY

Current Prices	SPRING CLEAN UP					
	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	
190.00	1	Cost per ton for removal of all unwanted items at curbside	175	Tons		190.00

Does not include drop / pick up cost	TIRE COLLECTION					
	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	
155.00	1	Tire Drop off 1 to 2 days The last week of May	175	Tons		250.00

Current Prices	TRASH CAN PICK UP DOWN TOWN					
	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	
3.00	1	36 gallon trash cans downtown	120	can		1.50
		Picked up 3 times a week				
3.00	2	36 gallon trash cans downtown	120	can		1.50
		Picked up 6 times a week				

05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.

Attachment #2

Bid Tabulation sheet - 2023 Trash and Recycling

PRELIMINARY

Company: Republic

Addendums	Yes
Bid bond	Yes

2023 -2024										
Current Rates 2022-2023	Current Total	TRASH					Base Bid	Proposed Total	Alt. 1	Alt. 2
		ITEM	ESCRIPTIC	QTY.	UNIT	/UNIT PRICE	COST		Recycling bag 35 65 95	All Unlimited
3.00	8.50	1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.00	6.00	3.00	N/A
12.50	18.00	2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		12.50	15.50	N/A	12.00
12.75	18.25	3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		12.75	15.75	14.25	14.00
14.75	20.25	4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		14.75	17.75	N/A	N/A
16.75	22.25	5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		16.75	19.75	15.95	15.91
		RECYCLING								
		ITEM	ESCRIPTIC	QTY.	UNIT	/UNIT PRICE				
		1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo		6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
5.50		2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		3.00		3.00	3.00

Bid Tabulation sheet - 2023 Trash and Recycling

PRELIMINARY

Company: Kimble

Addendums	Yes
Bid bond	Yes

2023 -2024

TRASH						Base Bid	Proposed Total	Alt. 1 Recycling bag 35	Alt. 2 All Unlimited
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST				
1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.50		8.15	3.50	N/A
2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		12.94		17.59	N/A	16.00
3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		12.94		17.59	12.94	16.00
4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		13.94		18.59	N/A	N/A
5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		16.94		21.59	16.94	16.00
RECYCLING									
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE					
1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo		7.21			7.21	
2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo		4.65			4.65	

2024 - 2025										
Current Rates 2022-2023	Current Total	TRASH					Base Bid		Alt. 1	Alt. 2
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST		Recycling bag 35 65 95	All Unlimited
3.00	8.50	1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.15	6.30	3.15	N/A
12.50	18.00	2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		13.13	16.28	N/A	12.60
12.75	18.25	3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		13.39	16.54	15.28	14.70
14.75	20.25	4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		15.49	18.64	N/A	N/A
16.75	22.25	5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		17.59	20.74	16.75	16.71
		RECYCLING								
		ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE				
		1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo		6.30		6.30	6.30
5.50		2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		3.15		3.15	3.15

2024 - 2025

						Base Bid			Alt. 1 Recycling bag 35 65 95	Alt. 2 All Unlimited
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST					
1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.61	8.40	3.61	N/A	12.60	
2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		13.33	18.12	N/A	12.60	12.60	
3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		13.33	18.12	13.33	12.60	12.60	
4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		14.36	19.15	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		17.45	22.24	17.45	16.71	16.71	
RECYCLING										
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST					
1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		7.43	7.43	7.43	7.43	7.43	
2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	

05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.

Attachment #2

Bid Tabulation sheet - 2023 Trash and Recycling

PRELIMINARY

Company: Rumpke

Addendums	Yes
Bid bond	Yes

2023 -2024

TRASH					Base Bid	Proposed Total	Alt. 1 Recycling	Alt. 2 Recycling bag 35 65 95
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST		All Unlimited	
1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.75	9.30	3.75	N/A
2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		16.25	21.80	N/A	20.25
3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		16.75	22.30	16.50	20.25
4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		19.95	25.50	N/A	N/A
5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		22.00	27.55	21.75	20.25
RECYCLING								
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST			
1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo		7.60		7.60	7.60
2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		5.55		5.55	5.55

2024 - 2025

TRASH					Base Bid	Proposed Total	Alt. 1 Recycling	Alt. 2 Recycling bag 35 65 95
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST		All Unlimited	
1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag		3.88	9.62	3.88	N/A
2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		16.82	22.56	N/A	20.96
3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.		17.34	23.08	17.08	20.96
4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.		19.67	25.41	N/A	N/A
5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.		22.77	28.51	22.51	20.96
RECYCLING								
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST			
1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo		7.87		7.87	7.87
2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.		5.74		5.74	5.74

Bid Tabulation sheet - 2023 Trash and Recycling

PRELIMINARY

Company: Waste Management

NO BID

Addendums	
Bid bond	

2023 -2024

TRASH					Base Bid	Proposed Total	Alt. 1 Recycling	Alt. 2 Recycling bag 35 65 95
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST		All Unlimited	
1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag					N/A
2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.				N/A	
3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.					
4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.				N/A	N/A
5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.					
RECYCLING								
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST			
1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo					
2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.					

2024 - 2025

TRASH					Base Bid	Proposed Total	Alt. 1 Recycling	Alt. 2 Recycling bag 35 65 95
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST		All Unlimited	
1	Minimum Generator Service	410	Bag					N/A
2	35 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.				N/A	
3	65 Gallon Generator Service	430	Mo.					
4	95 Gallon Generator Service	180	Mo.				N/A	N/A
5	95 Gallon Unlimited Service	2950	Mo.					
RECYCLING								
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST			
1	Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo					
2	Bi - Weekly recycling 65 or 95 gallon tote	4400	Mo.					

05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.

Attachment #2

About Republic Services®

Republic Services, Inc. is a leader in the U.S. environmental services industry. Our commitments to advance circularity, reduce emissions and decarbonize operations are helping deliver on our vision to partner with customers to create a more sustainable world.



COMPANY

13M
CUSTOMERS

94%
CUSTOMER
RETENTION

\$13.5B
IN REVENUE

\$2.7B
INVESTMENT
IN
ACQUISITIONS

3.5M
PEOPLE POSITIVELY
IMPACTED THROUGH
CHARITABLE GIVING

OPERATIONS

5M
AVERAGE DAILY
PICKUPS

17K
TRUCKS

900+
LOCATIONS IN
NORTH AMERICA

206
ACTIVE, MODERN
LANDFILLS

CLIMATE LEADERSHIP

20%
OF FLEET
POWERED
BY RNG

8
SOLAR
PROJECTS

65
LANDFILL
GAS-TO-ENERGY
PROJECTS

9%
GHG EMISSIONS
REDUCTION
SINCE 2017

50%
EV PURCHASES
BY 2028

71
RECYCLING
CENTERS

20
ORGANICS
FACILITIES²

TALENT

40K
EMPLOYEES

85
EMPLOYEE
ENGAGEMENT
SCORE

35%
BETTER SAFETY
PERFORMANCE³

47%
ETHNIC
DIVERSITY

CIRCULARITY

5M
TONS PROCESSED
IN RECYCLING
CENTERS

1M
TONS OF
ORGANICS
RECYCLED

56M
GALLONS OF
WASTEWATER
TREATED¹

2M
GALLONS OF
OIL RECYCLED*

5M
POUNDS
OF GLYCOL
RECYCLED*

26M
POUNDS OF
METALS
RECOVERED*

AWARDS

ETHISPHERE
WORLD'S
MOST ETHICAL
COMPANIES
2023

BARRON'S
100 MOST
SUSTAINABLE
COMPANIES
2023

3BL MEDIA
100 BEST
CORPORATE
CITIZENS
2022



S&P GLOBAL
SUSTAINABILITY
YEARBOOK
2023

DJSI
NORTH AMERICA
INDEX
2022

FORTUNE
WORLD'S MOST
ADMIRABLE
COMPANIES 2023

¹ Fiscal year 2021 ² As of 2023 ³ (than industry average over last 10 years based on OSHA recordable rates)
©2023 Republic Services, Inc.

05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.
Attachment #3

Electric Vehicles - Republic Services is leading the industry to advance EV technology and convert our fleet.

Four Factors of Success

In order to convert a program to electric powered vehicles, these four factors must be aligned

1. Truck Performance
2. Route Dynamics
3. Infrastructure Improvements
4. Economics



https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/going-electric-jon-vander-ark?utm_source=share&utm_medium=guest_desktop&utm_campaign=copy



REPUBLIC
SERVICES

Sustainability in Action


Shaping a Circular Economy

Today, our economy is linear — we use the Earth's materials; we create products from them; then we throw them away. Only about a third of America's waste is recycled, and plastics, in particular, are often downcycled into textiles or carpet instead of a new bottle or jug. These downcycled products have few options for further recycling, so their lifecycle tends to be finite — not circular. Our goal is to grow our circular economy — one where we use recycled resources to create products and, when we're finished, we recycle them for use again.

Republic Services set an ambitious goal to increase recovery and circularity of key materials by 40% on a combined basis by 2030. We're doing this in three main ways:

1. By continually investing in our recycling facilities
2. By leading the way in organics recycling
3. By building a network of Polymer Centers

Creating a circular economy is challenging but achievable with investment and commitment. Republic Services has the infrastructure and focus on sustainability to affect real change.





Investing in our recycling facilities

Many factors play a role in how and what consumers recycle — from changing packaging designs, to shifting shopping habits, to confusion over which items can be recycled.

- ✓ Republic Services has 71 recycling facilities across the country, which process 5 million tons of materials annually.
- ✓ We invested \$54M in 2021 toward optimizing our facilities, modernizing our optical sorting technology and upgrading our equipment to accurately capture valuable recyclables.
- ✓ Our Recycling Simplified program educates customers about contamination and how they can become more efficient recyclers.



Leading the way in organics recycling

Food and yard waste make up a third of the material that's sent to landfills, but through diversion and recycling, it can become circular.

- ✓ Republic Services is a leading provider of organics recycling, with 3 commercial food waste pre-processing lines, 12 compost facilities and 4 green waste processing facilities. In 2021, we processed more than 2 billion pounds of food and yard waste.
- ✓ Recycling organic waste into compost creates a nutrient-rich soil amendment, which helps preserve natural resources and reduces water consumption. The compost produced at our facilities is used by the agriculture and landscaping industries.
- ✓ Organics pre-processing removes contamination. The clean material then goes to a wastewater treatment digester or anaerobic digestion facility and can be used to generate renewable energy.



Opening the Republic Services Polymer Center

While an aluminum can is generally recycled back into a new can, a water bottle or detergent jug is more likely to be "downcycled" at a lower quality, making the plastic harder to recapture. Republic Services has a solution to this circularity problem.

- ✓ Republic Services Polymer Center, opening in Las Vegas in 2023, is North America's first integrated plastics recycling facility, enabling us to manage the plastics stream from curbside collection to delivery of consumer packaging.

The Polymer Center offers:

- ✓ Transparency
- ✓ Traceability
- ✓ Specificity in design
- ✓ Improved circularity
- ✓ Higher capture/diversion rates
- ✓ A 100% domestic solution
- ✓ A free service for our customers



Learn more about our circular economy projects



RECYCLING
SIMPLIFIED



SUSTAINABILITY
IN ACTION



POLYMER
CENTER

Items that can always
be placed in your
recycling cart include:



Items that can never be
placed in your recycling
cart include:



Paper



Flattened
Cardboard



Clothing
& Shoes



Greasy
Pizza Boxes



Food



Tools



Toys



Scrap Metal

Metal Cans




Glass

Plastic Bottles
& Jugs



Diapers



Yard Waste



Medical Waste



Construction
Waste



Electronics
& Batteries

How to prepare your recyclables:



Empty. Clean. Dry.

Keep all recyclables free of food and liquid



Don't bag it.

Never put recyclables in containers or bags

FUEL SURCHARGE ADJUSTMENT

Fuel Surcharge. In addition to the fees in the schedule for payment set forth in this agreement, Republic Services may invoice the City of Kent and its residents for an additional fuel surcharge. However, such surcharge may only be added under the circumstances set forth in this section and then only in accordance with the terms provided herein.

According to the US Energy Information Administration's monthly published "US On-highway Diesel Fuel Price," the average diesel fuel price for April 2023 in the Midwest was \$4.09 per gallon. For purposes of this subsection, the Base Diesel Fuel Price ("Base") shall be \$4.09 per gallon, and the Current Diesel Fuel Price ("Current") shall be the monthly average of the "Midwest No 2 Diesel Retail Sales by All Sellers," as published on the following website:

https://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/pet_pri_gnd_dcus_nus_m.htm

During the initial one year term of this Agreement, and including any yearly extensions thereof, if the cost of diesel fuel rises above \$4.75 per gallon as of July 1st, 2024 in the current contract year (as determined by the US Energy Information Administration's published "US On-highway Diesel Fuel Price"), the undersigned may add a fuel surcharge adjustment to its invoice for the following year of the Agreement. The fuel surcharge adjustment shall be applied quarterly and shall be in effect until the quarter following the return of fuel prices below the "Base Fuel Price". The fuel surcharge adjustment shall be calculated as follows:

"Current" minus "Base" = "Difference"
and

"Difference" multiplied by ten percent (10%) = per container / site price increase
(rounded to the nearest cent)

By way of example only, the following is a calculation of the monthly fuel surcharge contemplated under this Agreement:

$\$4.75 \text{ (Current)} - \$4.09 \text{ (Base)} = \$0.66 \text{ (Difference)} \times 10\% = \0.06

ACCEPTABLE ITEMS



COMPOST

DROP OFF

FOOD WASTE & BPI
CERTIFIED PRODUCTS



UNACCEPTABLE ITEMS



YARD WASTE

DROP OFF



PLASTIC BAGS & FILM

DROP OFF



MOTOR OIL, CAR BATTERIES, ANTI-FREEZE AND TRANSMISSION FLUID


DROP OFF



Recycling Programs at the District

SCRAP METAL

DROP OFF



SINGLE STREAM RECYCLING

DROP OFF OR CURBSIDE



BATTERIES

DROP OFF FOR TAPED BATTERIES
LOCATED IN FRONT LOBBY -
OPEN WEEKDAYS 7:30 TO 4:00



PORTAGE COUNTY RECYCLING DROP-OFF

3588 MOGADORE ROAD,
KENT, OHIO 44240

[HTTPS://PORTAGERECYCLES.COM/](https://portagerecycles.com/)
FACEBOOK - PORTAGE COUNTY
RECYCLING
INSTAGRAM - PORTAGERECYCLES

PORTAGE COUNTY
RECYCLING



RECYCLING GUIDE



2023 CITY OF KENT RECYCLING PICK UP SCHEDULE

MONDAY
 TUESDAY
 WEDNESDAY
 THURSDAY
 HOLIDAY

MAY 2023						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

JUNE 2023						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

JULY 2023						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

AUGUST 2023						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

SEPTEMBER 2023						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

OCTOBER 2023						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

NOVEMBER 2023						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

DECEMBER 2023						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

PAPER & CARDBOARD



Clean & dry newspaper, magazines, unwanted mail, broken down boxes (small enough to fit in cart with lid closed)

METAL



Aluminum and steel containers (e.g. canned goods & pop cans)

PLASTIC



Beverage containers, bottles, jugs and tubs, no loose caps

GLASS



Bottles and Jars

CARTONS



Milk, juice and soup cartons



PLEASE CALL US
AT 330-678-8808
WITH RECYCLING
QUESTIONS

PORTAGE COUNTY RECYCLING

3588 MOGADORE ROAD,
KENT, OHIO 44240

PORTAGERECYCLES.COM
@PORTAGERECYCLES



05.03.23

Committee Mtg. Min.
Attachment #4