

**THE CITY OF KENT, OHIO
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
WEDNESDAY, MAY 1, 2019**

Vice-Chair Rosenberg called the Community Development Committee Meeting to order at 8:11 p.m. after

PRESENT: Mr. Jack Amrhein; Mr. Michael DeLeone; Mr. Garret Ferrara; Mr. John Kuhar; Ms. Gwen Rosenberg; Ms. Heidi Shaffer; Mr. Roger Sidoti; Mr. Robin Turner; and Ms. Tracy Wallach.

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Jerry T. Fiala, Mayor and President of Council; Mr. Dave Ruller, City Manager; Ms. Hope Jones, Law Director; Ms. Bridget Susel, Community Development Director; Ms. Melanie Baker, Public Service Director; Mr. Jim Bowling, City Engineer; Fire Chief John Tosko; Mr. David Coffee, Budget & Finance Director; Mr. Tom Wilke, Economic Development Director; Ms. Dawn Bishop, Interim Clerk of Council.

There were two (2) items on the Agenda.

1. Proposed Permit and Overnight Parking Changes

Mr. Ruller said this goes back to the comprehensive parking plan started at the time of downtown redevelopment.

Mr. Susel reminded Council that in September 2018 they had authorized several amendments to Kent City Traffic Code which eliminated A&B Day Parking Permits on various public streets and in the Columbus Street lot. Those were implemented and working fine. Now they are in the stage where they need to address overnight parking. In 2007 eight spots basically in city right of way were designated for that use next to 257 N Water. That project was designated for redevelopment and they knew they would have to address that overnight permit situation. Temporary C-permits were issued to the two residents who were able to verify permits prior. That gets them through June 30. This was taken to the Parking Action Committee in August 2018, worked through each variable. PAC had recommendations, staff had some comments: First recommendation from PAC is designate overnight resident parking permits from 6p-8a and staff would recommend 8pm-8am. Two hour parking is from 8-6 in that area. Second recommendation is overnight permits to downtown residents only. Third recommendation is keeping a fee for the permits which right now is \$15 established in 2007 and they believe \$25-\$40 would now be reasonable. To compare to PARTA, overnight permits are \$77.84 for uncovered. Fourth recommendation is no guaranteed specific spot, just designated area. Fifth recommendation is no more than ten spots be designated on either east side or west side, not both. Ms. Susel said there will eventually be a need on the south side of town for this too. She doesn't need it tonight included in legislation but wanted to throw it out there for discussion.

Ms. Wallach asked the 8pm to 8am would be weekdays?

Ms. Susel said everyday but Sunday. No ticketing on Sunday. Reverts to two hour parking during daytime hours.

Ms. Wallach asked what if a resident is sick and they have to stay home.

Ms. Susel said they could challenge any citations, they didn't make any allowances for that.

Ms. Shaffer said she was a bit confused and wanted the map pointed out to her in her packet; she had no other questions.

Mr. Kuhar asked about on the south side for occasional overnight parking, like a guest, and these permits are issued monthly, how would this occasional overnight guest be covered?

Ms. Susel clarified if there was a resident like a teenager with a vehicle, they would still have to provide proof, but could possibly get a permit for a need over their one provided space.

Mr. Kuhar said ok, so they would buy a monthly pass.

Ms. Susel said they would ask at a minimum permits be bought quarterly.

Mr. Kuhar asked if any thought was given to when there is a snow emergency and three inches of snow on the street.

Ms. Susel said that is not a matter before them tonight but she will mention it to Chief Lee to bring it up to traffic engineering and safety committee.

Mr. Sidoti asked now that they eliminated parking because of this project, how have people been accommodated.

Ms. Susel said after notifying everyone for two weeks beforehand by placing notices on windshields, they still only had two permits from N. Water Street area residents. Chief Lee wanted the permits to be displayed on the dash. Two others came in who did not qualify.

Mr. Sidoti asked about the west side businesses being affected if overnight parking was there.

Ms. Susel reminded Council that at 8am it reverts to two hour posted parking.

Ms. Shaffer asked about PAC recommending different hours than staff and she would have to agree that may be a hardship with someone getting home from work at 6 and there be no spaces.

Ms. Susel said normally they should be able to find a spot. PAC recommended that time but they were told staff would work through those hours, talk to residents, etc. They are fine with 6p- 8am though but thinks that transition period is probably worth considering.

Ms. Wallach asked if a resident parks there at 6pm, is fine because of the two hour allowance, and then their overnight parking permit kicks in.

Ms. Susel said yes.

Ms. Wallach asked what the residents said, is it working out for them.

Ms. Susel said they haven't had any complaints. One of the two residents with the permit has a second shift job so these hours are fine.

Mr. Kuhar asked that even though there are a couple businesses in that block, at that time of evening there is still adequate parking.

Ms. Susel said correct and as Ms. Wallach pointed out, there is still that two hour buffer. Ms. Susel said they would only designate the number of spaces actually permitted, not to exceed ten. So if they only have two permits, that would be all the spaces that would be designated.

Mr. Sidoti asked would we have to come back to this with the N. Water project.

Ms. Susel said yes but accommodations need to be made now.

Mr. Kuhar asked about 257 N Water being redone with residential units.

Ms. Susel said it is commercial only development.

Ms. Wallach said staff recommended 8-8 hours because of businesses, but since there are only two permits, keeping the 6-8 hours shouldn't be a problem.

Ms. Susel said the 8-8 hours is anticipating a greater need for these permits.

Ms. Shaffer wanted to ask for clarification what was needed of Council: fee, which side of the road to designate, and hours for the permit?

Ms. Susel said yes.

Mr. Kuhar began to make a motion and others asked if this was a conflict as he owns a building in the area. Ms. Jones said she didn't think there was a conflict.

MOTION TO IMPLEMENT PROPOSED OVERNIGHT PARKING PERMIT WITH A \$35 A MONTH FEE, ON THE WEST SIDE OF N WATER, AND TIME FRAME OF 8pm-8am, WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE made by Mr. Kuhar, seconded by Mr. Sidoti

Mr. Kuhar thought this was a good compromise and should take care of basic needs and the 8-8 time would take care of the bar crowd as they normally come in later.

Mr. Sidoti thought this was reasonable also.

MOTION TO AMEND THE ORIGINAL MOTION WITH A TIME FRAME OF 7PM-8AM made by Ms. Wallach, seconded by Ms. Shaffer, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0.

Ms. Wallach thought this would help those getting off at 5pm.

Ms. Shaffer agreed.

Mr. Kuhar thought that would be fine, he would support it, doesn't think it makes a lot of difference either way

MOTION TO IMPLEMENT PROPOSED OVERNIGHT PARKING PERMIT WITH A \$35 A MONTH FEE, ON THE WEST SIDE OF N WATER, AND TIME FRAME OF 7pm-8am, WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE, CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0.

2. Revised Neighborhood Grant Program

Mr. Ruller said the city did not get applications like they hoped for the Neighborhood Grant Program. Ms. Susel and Mr. Morganti came up with a way of hopefully improving neighborhoods on a house by house basis and letting people apply for a certain amount of funding in very defined limits for the exterior of their residences. The theory would be to help get things started in a neighborhood and seed improvement.

Ms. Susel said the program was implemented with funding back in 2014. \$15,000 a year was carved out of the Social Service Funding. They average about \$8000 a year. Only in 2017 have they committed all \$15000 and a large amount actually going to a demolition project they had been working on for six years. Their proposed changes would be a Residential Exterior Enhancement program. She gave an example of issuing 450 property maintenance violations and 379 were resolved in a thirteen month period. 69 are active and because of illness or limited financial means, they are unable to resolve them but are working on them. About 30 can't just go any further because of limited financial means. The Rehabilitation Program the city manages is for large rehabilitation issues. With funding from State and HUD, they can't just fix one thing and everything from the basement up has to be looked at, which results in a deferred loan converted to a grant at some point, but that can be very scary for some homeowners. They have found they are reluctant to go that far down the path. Ms. Susel said she, Code Enforcement Officers and Mr. Morganti thought if they could just have a program that could just address code violations. They would request HUD Income Requirements be used for eligibility.

This would be owner occupied only. In cases of significant financial hardship, the City can coordinate project details and pay the contractor directly.

Ms. Wallach asked for example an elderly person is unable to pay anything; does the city advance them money?

Ms. Susel said they would try to work with the contractor, maybe could use volunteer labor. They do a senior service day with Family and Community Services and Riverside Chapel and use volunteers for those in need. These are mainly smaller projects: clogged gutters, overgrown brush. They want to limit these to those with an active and ongoing code enforcement property maintenance violation.

Mr. Kuhar asked if maybe the Neighborhood Enhancement Program could have been handled differently, less governmental, better promoted.

Ms. Susel said it was in the Tree City Bulletin, fliers done, on the website. It seemed to be the buy in. The neighborhoods are already designated, they don't designate them. Sometimes just getting those signatures wasn't something people wanted to do.

Mr. Kuhar said it seemed a shame those low income homeowners without violations would be excluded and an ugly house is an ugly house.

Ms. Susel said she understand his point but these maintenance violations are usually so egregious they are getting calls. So maybe this could be a pilot program and see how it goes for a year. Ms. Susel referenced her map with the outstanding violations and believed to be income eligible is pretty widespread throughout the city. They have over thirty to forty who they think would benefit right now.

Mr. Kuhar asked if Ms. Susel thought they would catch up and then have the extra money.

Ms. Susel said catch up is a term she doesn't use and she doesn't believe so. Transitions in home ownership, etc, shift violations. She thinks it will reduce outstanding open cases that resort in fines.

Mr. Kuhar said let's pretend we do take care of all issues, then what.

Ms. Susel said she would come back to Council, say the program was a success and transition those funds. She thinks there may be room to run what Mr. Kuhar is suggesting but thinks they really need to see this through.

Mr. Kuhar asked if this would turn into a situation where I'm never going to cut my grass because the city will cut it.

Ms. Susel said no, this would be strictly exterior physical property maintenance violations on the structure itself, not ancillary activity like a section of fencing fell down.

Ms. Shaffer asked if there was a limited funding cycle.

Ms. Susel said the NGP was year round and would anticipate it to run the same. Staff would be driving the operations. They don't want to be heavy handed with code enforcement but consistent.

Ms. Shaffer said this is good for community relations.

Ms. Susel said definitely.

Ms. Wallach likes the idea of a pilot program. She asked what happens if there are so many violations, a resident goes past the \$1000 cap?

Ms. Susel said that would be a benchmark but would ask that staff make some call

MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE REVISED NEIGHBORHOOD GRANT PROGRAM WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE made by Ms. Shaffer, seconded by Ms. Wallach and CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0.

Ms. Shaffer wanted to commend Community Development for coming up with this. Ms. Shaffer said she loves the Neighborhood Grant Program but it was difficult to get involvement and this would benefit the whole neighborhood and these homes are distributed across the city. It would make her feel much better if she had to call the code enforcement officer because she does realize there are income setbacks for residents. She hopes it is fully utilized.

Ms. Wallach said she is very excited about this and this should help neighborhoods and stabilize.

Mr. Kuhar adjourned the Community Development Committee Meeting at 7:56 PM.

Dawn Bishop
Interim Clerk of Council

ACTION RECOMMENDED:

1. **AUTHORIZE PROPOSED OVERNIGHT PARKING PERMIT WITH A \$35 A MONTH FEE, ON THE WEST SIDE OF N WATER, AND TIME FRAME OF 7pm-8am, WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE**
2. **AUTHORIZE THE REVISED NEIGHBORHOOD GRANT PROGRAM**

**THE CITY OF KENT, OHIO
FINANCE COMMITTEE
WEDNESDAY, May 1, 2019**

Chairperson DeLeone called the meeting of the Finance Committee of Kent City Council to order at 7:08 p.m.

PRESENT: Mr. Jack Amrhein; Mr. Michael DeLeone; Mr. Garret Ferrara; Mr. John Kuhar; Ms. Gwen Rosenberg; Ms. Heidi Shaffer; Mr. Roger Sidoti; Mr. Robin Turner; and Ms. Tracy Wallach.

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Jerry T. Fiala, Mayor and President of Council; Mr. Dave Ruller, City Manager; Ms. Hope Jones, Law Director; Ms. Bridget Susel, Community Development Director; Ms. Melanie Baker, Public Service Director; Mr. Jim Bowling, City Engineer; Fire Chief John Tosko; Mr. David Coffee, Budget & Finance Director; Mr. Tom Wilke, Economic Development Director; Ms. Dawn Bishop, Interim Clerk of Council.

There were two (2) items on the Agenda.

1. Law Director Prosecution Pay Revision

Mr. Ruller said Ms. Jones wants to amend the ordinance to remove the provision that allows the Law Director additional pay when performing prosecutor work. The full time capacity of the law director position has been firmed up and it's been great for the city.

Ms. Jones said she just wants to amend the ordinance so neither she nor a future law director would collect compensation for that. The City Charter already specifies that the Law Director will represent the city in all legal proceedings and said this is unnecessary for something the Law Director should already be doing.

Ms. Rosenberg said she had to read this twice and said thank you to Ms. Jones for this revision.

MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE LAW DIRECTOR PROSECUTION PAY REVISION ORDINANCE WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE made by Mr. Kuhar, seconded by Mr. Sidoti, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0.

2. 2019 Budget Appropriations Amendment

Mr. Coffee requested committee authorization tonight for the appropriations amendment.

There were no questions or comments from Council or the Audience.

MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE 2019 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS AMENDMENT WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE made by Mr. Amrhein, seconded by Mr. Kuhar, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0.

Mr. DeLeone adjourned the Finance Committee Meeting at 7:11 PM.

Dawn Bishop
Interim Clerk of Council

ACTION RECOMMENDED:

1. **AUTHORIZE LAW DIRECTOR PROSECUTION PAY REVISION WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE**
2. **AUTHORIZE THE 2019 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS AMENDMENT WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE**

**THE CITY OF KENT, OHIO
LAND USE COMMITTEE MEETING
WEDNESDAY, May 1, 2019**

Chair Garret Ferrara called the Land Use Committee Meeting to order at 8:16 p.m.

PRESENT: Mr. Jack Amrhein; Mr. Michael DeLeone; Mr. Garret Ferrara; Mr. John Kuhar; Ms. Gwen Rosenberg; Ms. Heidi Shaffer; Mr. Roger Sidoti; Mr. Robin Turner; and Ms. Tracy Wallach.

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Jerry T. Fiala, Mayor and President of Council; Mr. Dave Ruller, City Manager; Ms. Hope Jones, Law Director; Ms. Bridget Susel, Community Development Director; Ms. Melanie Baker, Public Service Director; Mr. Jim Bowling, City Engineer; Fire Chief John Tosko; Mr. David Coffee, Budget & Finance Director; Mr. Tom Wilke, Economic Development Director; Ms. Dawn Bishop, Interim Clerk of Council.

There was one (1) item on the Agenda.

1. Proposed Updates to the 208 Sewer Service District Plan

Mr. Ruller said he did not believe he had come to Council anytime recently with a NEFCO map. He and former Service Director Gene Roberts had discussed this a lot: where does city service end and county begin? Ms. Baker has been working with Portage County and Mr. Roberts there on the sewer map.

Ms. Baker said the last update was done in 2011. Ms. Baker said Mr. Roberts kept great notes while in Kent so she was able to bring those to him now that he is with the county. The original plan from 1978 looked like they just drew through some property lines. So the majority of what they did was look at the plan, take a look at where it might be dissecting a property, and they tried to make some good engineering decisions. Most stayed within the city. There were a couple places on Horning where the county already had sanitary sewer so it made sense to get into it there. Then they took a look at areas that were already being serviced: areas in the city being serviced by the county and areas outside of the city being served by the City of Kent. Those were realigned to make sense. Ms. Baker also found some interesting history where on the west side of town on Deidrick, they actually were serviced by Summit County, as well as off Newcomer Road. They were able to pull that legislation and amend those as well. The only change they really did make was on the south end of town called the bog. The county would like to install some restrooms. If it remained in the city's district, there are no sanitary sewer lines there so it would be expensive and difficult. They would have to had ask for a composting facility. The county has service right across the street. So that is park specific and it was a line taken outside of our service area.

MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE NEFCO 208 SEWER SERVICE DISTRICT PLAN UPDATES WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE made by Mr. Sidoti, seconded by Mr. Kuhar, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0,

After hearing no further business, Chair Ferrara adjourned the meeting at 8:20 p.m.

Dawn Bishop
Interim Clerk of Council

ACTION RECOMMENDED:

- 1) **AUTHORIZE THE NEFCO 208 SEWER SERVICE DISTRICT PLAN UPDATES WITH AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE**

**THE CITY OF KENT, OHIO
STREETS, SIDEWALKS, AND UTILITIES COMMITTEE
WEDNESDAY, MAY 1, 2019**

Chair Roger Sidoti called the meeting of the Streets, Sidewalks, and Utilities Committee of Kent City Council to order at 7:56 p.m.

PRESENT: Mr. Jack Amrhein; Mr. Michael DeLeone; Mr. Garret Ferrara; Mr. John Kuhar; Ms. Gwen Rosenberg; Ms. Heidi Shaffer; Mr. Roger Sidoti; Mr. Robin Turner; Ms. Tracy Wallach.

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Jerry T. Fiala, Mayor and President of Council; Mr. Dave Ruller, City Manager; Ms. Hope Jones, Law Director; Ms. Bridget Susel, Community Development Director; Ms. Melanie Baker, Public Service Director; Mr. Jim Bowling, City Engineer; Fire Chief John Tosko; Mr. David Coffee, Budget & Finance Director; Mr. Tom Wilke, Economic Development Director; Ms. Dawn Bishop, Interim Clerk of Council.

There were four (4) items on the Agenda.

1. AT&T Water Tower Lease Agreement

Mr. Ruller said Ms. Jones and Ms. Baker have been working on this for awhile, and if nothing else AT&T Sales is persistent.

Ms. Jones said the conversation about AT&T Lease goes hand in hand with the KSU Easement item. The City has an easement with the State of Ohio, KSU, for a water tower. AT&T hangs their antenna and equipment on our water tower since 1989. Their lease was up last year. Negotiations began to reup the lease. Currently they pay \$2750 a month and the new agreement will call for \$3103.20 a month, with 20% going to the State; that matches former agreements with the State. With the use of the easement is more burdened, they want their share from AT&T. Term of lease and easement with the state is five years with three options to renew. Both agreements call for a 10% increase in the rent and easement with each new term.

Mr. Kuhar asked for the figures again.

Ms. Jones repeated them.

Mr. Kuhar said that is comparable to what he gets for a tower on his building.

Ms. Wallach asked if that is inflation rate.

Ms. Jones said that is what the City asked for. Ms. Jones said she heard AT&T is going to focus 5G on the Cleveland-Akron area so she wonders how long before these towers may be obsolete.

No further comments or questions from Council or the Audience.

MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE AT&T WATER TOWER LEASE AMENDMENT, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY made by Mr. Kuhar, seconded by Mr. Amrhein, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0.

2. Easement with KSU for the Tower Lease

Mr. Sidoti said so this goes hand in hand with item #1.

Ms. Jones said correct.

Mr. DeLeone asked if we have to pay yearly for that easement.

Ms. Jones said it is her understanding that they don't pay for the easement for the tower, but in the agreement if you allow more of a burden on that easement, as with AT&T, or you get money for that easement, we get money too. It's pretty smart.

Mr. DeLeone said that remains the same 20%.

Ms. Jones said yes, AT&T sends 80% to us and 20% to KSU/State.

MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE EASEMENT WITH KSU FOR THE TOWER LEASE, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY made by Mr. Amrhein, seconded by Mr. DeLeone, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0.

3. City & KSU Bike Share Agreement

Mr. Ruller said Kent State wants to get in the dock less bike share, which does prove to be more convenient. There may be e scooters in the near future but Kent State is going to hold off on that for a bit.

Mr. Bowling wanted to add that the bike fleet would include 100 pedal bikes and sixty-eight electric assist bikes. It would include two ADA compliant bikes and ten fat tire bikes for snow and off road use. Another big change is the last bike share program, the University and the City subsidized while this program does not need that subsidy. Partly because it is dock less and they are expecting each bike be used four times a day. In the MOU a commitment for \$5000, which is in our budget, to be able to do ancillary services like concerns where bikes may be parked as in signage or marking bike parking areas. Available to KSU students, faculty, City of Kent residents.

Mr. Kuhar asked if being dock less means they can drop it wherever they want or there will be designated parking areas.

Mr. Bowling said there is already parking of bicycles in our ordinances. It already says you can't park on sidewalks that it interferes with pedestrians or road usage. The MOU and the agreement with the company allows for a geo fencing system that would designate areas where bike parking would be best suited. This will probably take some time to adjust the system. The system will be more usable if there are more available areas but if it gets too crowded you can put a fence around it in the app and if you want to be really obvious, there could be striped areas for parking. The company will have staff of four employees in Kent and part of the daily operation would be corral bikes not parked in the proper area. It seemed like all boxes were checked that this shouldn't be a problem like in other areas, but that's to be seen.

Mr. Kuhar asked how they are dispatched to where bikes are.

Mr. Bowling said all on the app and the bikes have a self-locking mechanism that would show it's available.

Mr. DeLeone so the bikes that need charged they would have to go around and get picked up.

Mr. Bowling said yes the e assist ones. It's easier to imagine if you've seen them.

Mr. DeLeone said he has in the Columbus area. He said he's seen scooters hanging from the trees, it's easy because their dock less. But his question can they put them in the bike racks downtown which there are plenty of.

Mr. Bowling said yes. The intention is to be able to take the bike where they are going, then leave it where they are going.

Ms. Wallach asked out of curiosity how does one navigate if they take a bike to a restaurant and want it to be there when they are done.

Mr. Bowling said they could not lock the bike and pay for that time while they are in the restaurant; then the bike is not available for others to use.

Mr. Kuhar so what happens if I take a bike to Connor's Woods, I can do that and someone will pick it up.

Mr. Bowling said yes but you might get charged for a fee for being outside the area.

Mr. Ruller wanted to add that they like the way they are headed into this project. Athens, OH approach was a little different, they didn't partner with the university and a single contractor, they let the market work itself out. So that means they have four or five different companies there. In this case, the City is partnering with KSU, theirs an open bid, but exclusive contract for Kent State service is because there isn't a big enough need for four or five companies.

Mr. Bowling wanted to add that if KSU isn't happy after a year with the service, all the investment this company has put in is gone. So there's that incentive to make it work.

Mr. Amrhein asked how successful is the current program?

Mr. Bowling said the program with Next Bike was successful but there were definitely improvements that were needed. Next Bike was unsuccessful with the cost, their equipment had to be maintained, and these new bikes are much better quality. These new bikes are airless tires, interior cables.

MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE CITY & KSU BIKE SHARE AGREEMENT, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY made by Mr. Ferrara, seconded by Mr. Amrhein, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0.

4. City & ODOT Legislation for ODOT Repaving SR 59

Mr. Bowling said they are asking for Council approval for participatory legislation with ODOT to resurface SR 59 from the city limit at Stow to Haymaker over the Cuyahoga. This is the second or third time here for this legislation. It's been changed several times as funding has changed at the State. It's an 80/20 split for resurfacing. We need pavement repairs. Between ODOT's help with and AMATS, the City got an extra \$50,000 for repairs and will leverage money for that. It's currently in capital funding for next year. Just seeking approval. Paving moved up to early 2020.

Ms. Wallach asked when was the last time it was repaved.

Mr. Bowling said about 2006.

Mayor Fiala asked if this is a word game, the memo says to S. Mantua.

Mr. Bowling said no, the long and short of it is ODOT made a mistake but they are taking it all the way to the bridge.

Mr. Kuhar asked if the legislation will say River or S. Mantua?

Mr. Bowling said they will send it back with River Street.

MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE CITY & ODOT LEGISLATION FOR ODOT REPAVING SR59, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY made by Mr. Amrhein, seconded by Mr. Kuhar, and CARRIED by a voice vote of 9-0.

Hearing no further business before this Committee, Chair Sidoti adjourned the meeting at 8:16pm.

Dawn Bishop
Interim Clerk of Council

ACTION RECOMMENDED:

The City of Kent, Ohio
Streets, Sidewalks, and Utilities Committee Meeting
Wednesday, May 1, 2019

1. **AUTHORIZE THE AT&T WATER TOWER LEASE AMENDMENT, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**
2. **AUTHORIZE THE EASEMENT WITH KSU FOR THE TOWER LEASE, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**
3. **AUTHORIZE THE CITY & KSU BIKE SHARE AGREEMENT, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**
4. **AUTHORIZE THE CITY & ODOT LEGISLATION FOR ODOT REPAVING SR 59**